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SUMMARY
The Maghreb is a key region for understanding the dynamics of cattle dispersal and admixture with local aurochs following their earliest domestication in the Fertile Crescent more than 10,000 years ago. Here, we present data on autosomal genomes and mitogenomes obtained for four archaeological specimens of Iron Age (~2,800 cal BP–2,000 cal BP) domestic cattle from the Eastern Maghreb, i.e. Althiburos (El Kef, Tunisia). D-loop sequences were obtained for an additional eight cattle specimens from this site. Maternal lineages were assigned to the elusive R and ubiquitous African-T1 haplogroups found in two and ten Althiburos specimens, respectively. Our results can be explained by post-domestication hybridization of Althiburos cattle with local aurochs. However, we cannot rule out an independent domestication in North Africa considering the shared ancestry of Althiburos cattle with the pre-domesticated Moroccan aurochs and present-day African taurine cattle.

INTRODUCTION
Cattle are one of the principal domesticated animals in Eurasia and Africa, and, as attested by figurines, rock art, and craftwork produced across many cultures, have high symbolic value. The wild ancestor of domestic cattle, the aurochs (Bos primigenius), was widespread until it became extinct in AD 1627 in Poland most probably due to overhunting and habitat loss. The reconstruction of the shared history of cattle and humans, i.e. of the domestication and dispersion processes, is challenging. Archaeological and genetic data revealed that European taurine (Bos taurus) and humped zebu (Bos indicus) cattle were domesticated independently. The former derives from Bos primigenius primigenius and were domesticated in the Fertile Crescent, during the Neolithic (~10,500 years BP) (before present). Zebu domestication occurred in the Indus Valley from Bos primigenius indicus ~8,000 years BP. The origins of the divergent North African taurine cattle are still debated, and it is not yet clear whether there was a third domestication event in this region. Recently, the analysis of SNP array data using approximate Bayesian computation modeling in worldwide cattle and one aurochs from England suggested there were only two domestication events. The distinct genetic variation of African taurine cattle could then result from hybridization with local aurochs (B. primigenius apisthonomos) following the dispersal of cattle domesticated in the Near East, but diachronic archaeogenomics data from cattle across North Africa are needed to test alternative demographic scenarios.

The analysis of DNA markers of autosomal, maternal, and paternal inheritance in comprehensive datasets of worldwide cattle disclosed overall patterns of genetic diversity and breed relationships. In cattle, mitochondrial variation is geographically structured. The almost fixation of the T1-matline in Africa is consistent with a founder effect, associated with the early colonization of this region by Near Eastern cattle, and the subsequent expansion of haplotypes within this haplogroup. However, the possibility that some T1 sub-haplogroups originated locally, from the North African aurochs, could not be ruled out. Distinct R-matlines were detected in a few native breeds from Italy and interpreted as evidence of gene flow from local aurochs. In today, Y-chromosome variation allows us to distinguish between taurine and zebu patriline, i.e. the Y1 and Y2 from Y3.
haplogroups, respectively. African cattle carry unique lineages with Y2 and Y3 patriline almost equally represented. The complementary analysis of autosomal DNA depicts the well-differentiated European taurine, African taurine, and South Asian zebu ancestry clusters, and the patterns of admixture between these groups at the scale of individual genomes, breeds, and geographic regions. For example, native breeds from Italy, such as Chianna, Marchigiana, and Romagnola, have high levels (i.e., slightly over 10%) of indicine introgression, along with African taurine ancestry. These cattle showed genomic regions under positive selection associated with signatures of indicine introgression, which is compatible with increased fitness. Multiple routes of domestication in the Near East toward the Italian Peninsula support these patterns of adaptive indicine introgression. Likewise, African autosomal data depict an East to West decreasing gradient of indicine introgression associated with adaptive selection for warmer and drier conditions. The recent finding of some level of incompatibility between proteins encoded by genes of the zebu nuclear genome and of the taurine mitochondria, as well as evidence for positive selection of taurine alleles in admixed populations, could explain the scarcity of indicine maternal haplogroups in African cattle. The indicine contribution to African taurine cattle (N'Dama) is not observed in the sex chromosome X compared to the autosomes corroborating an extensive male-mediated gene flow. The effect of introgression in the autosomes is larger than in the sex chromosomes due to the low effective size of the latter. In addition, the Y chromosome effective size in some breeds can be extremely low due to artificial breeding, making it more prone to lose variants by drift.

Ancient DNA studies have been crucial to infer the origins and evolutionary trajectories of domestic animals. Heterochronous genetic data allow a direct investigation of changes within populations over time, and of the patterns of admixture between wild and domestic animals. Genomic analyses of aurochs and early domestic cattle have confirmed mosaic origins and independent events of introgression of wild stock. Particularly, genomic data of a pre-domestic aurochs specimen collected in Morocco (−9,000 years BP; Epipaleolitico) and carrying the R-matriline suggested some level of proximity with early cattle from the southern Levant (−6,300−2,800 BP; Neolithic to the Iron Age). However, the genetic composition of the prehistoric cattle that ranged across North Africa remains unknown. Here, we present genome-wide high-throughput sequence (HTS) data and mitogenomes for four archaeological specimens of domestic cattle from the Eastern Maghreb, namely from the archaeological site of Althiburos (El Kef, Tunisia), a prominent settlement of local Numidian agropastoralists. Additionally, we obtained PCR-based sequence data for a fragment of the mitochondrial hypervariable D-loop region for these samples and a further eight cattle specimens also collected in Althiburos. Five specimens were directly radiocarbon dated to −2,800−2,000 cal BP (calibrated radiocarbon years before present), i.e. 9th to 1st century cal BCE (BCE). Four of these yielded sufficient autosomal genome coverages (0.01X to 0.1X) for population genomic analyses. The data collected from Althiburos specimens were used to: (i) identify their maternal haplogroups; (ii) determine their biological sex; (iii) infer the proportions of European taurine, African taurine, and indicine autosomal ancestry; and ultimately, (iv) investigate their genetic affinities with the distinct Moroccan aurochs, past Levantine cattle, and present-day breeds from North Africa.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
The Althiburos archaeological site is located in the Eastern Maghreb, Tunisia, in a fluvial valley of the El Kef province, close to the Algerian border and about 180 km from Carthage (Figure 1). Occupation of the site dates to the 9th century cal BCE and continued into Roman and Medieval times. The archaeobiological data collected thus far provided key information on the autochthonous sedentary people that inhabited this area, the settlement of Numidian states and their trade with the Phoenicians from Carthage. We processed 12 cattle specimens using established ancient DNA protocols, each corresponding to a single individual (Table 1). These materials comprised five metapodialis, two tibiae, one first phalanx, and four molar teeth from the Iron Age—Numidian—phases of occupation (Table S1 and Figure S1). Four specimens yielded genome-wide sequence data and a further eight also yielded D-loop sequences from PCR assays (Table 1). Typical ancient DNA deamination patterns, i.e., an excess of cytosine to thymine misincorporation at 5’ ends, and complementary guanine to adenine misincorporations at 3’ ends, as well as DNA fragmentation (average read lengths ~80 bp), allowed for HTS authentication asserting the ancient age of these samples (Figure S2). Five individuals were directly radiocarbon dated (Beta Analytics, UK), and gave dates spanning from −2,800 cal BP−2,000 cal BP (Table 1 and Figure S3). Six specimens were retrieved from stratigraphic levels that correspond to the early phases of the Numidian period, where traces of Phoenician pottery imports were found, whereas the other six specimens originate from the Middle and Late Numidian phases. These latter levels show evidence of increased trade with Carthage and other coastal Punic cities, and the presence of pottery imported from Italy. While the first contacts with the Phoenicians...
Figure 1. The Althiiborus archaeological site
(A) Map of the Mediterranean region and location of Althiiborus in Tunisia (red dot).
(B) Photography of Althiiborus showing excavation works in the capital area.
(C) Plan of the Althiiborus site showing excavation zones 1 and 2 around the capital.
(D) Stratigraphy of excavation zone 2 depicting archaeological layers, their corresponding contexts, and cattle specimens: STK233, STK234, and ALT235 (black circles); ALT232 and STK236 (black squares); STK237 and STK239 (black triangles); STK230 and STK231 (black inverted triangles). For more details see Tables 1 and S1.

may have occurred at the end of the 8th century BCE, the substantial influence of Carthaginians in the region dates after the middle 5th century BCE. Hence, some of our specimens are representative of the cattle raised by indigenous—Numidian—populations in the El Kef area.
Figure 2. Bayesian time-scaled phylogeny of cattle mitogenomes
Dates correspond to the node age posterior median and the solid light blue horizontal bars to their 95% highest posterior density interval (HPD). Reference samples representative of present-day cattle are denoted by the haplogroup followed by GenBank accession numbers (black branches) and the notation of ancient samples corresponds to that of the original publication (gray branches, except saurochs that are in green). The four Altibhusbos specimens are in blue. Only samples with over 90% of the mitogenome covered at 3X were included. For more details see Table S3.

Analysis of cattle mitogenomes
Only four of the 12 specimens for which HTS data were collected complied with the criteria defined for inclusion in a phylogenetic analysis, i.e., over 90% of the mitogenome covered at a minimum 3X, and their mitochondrial genome coverages were between 7.7X and 32X (Table 1). Three of these Altibhusbos cattle specimens (STK234, STK239, and STK243) belong to the major haplogroup T1, which is almost fixed in present-day African cattle but also frequent in Southern European, Middle Eastern, and Anatolian breeds (Figure 2). One Altibhusbos specimen (ALT232) carried the distinct R-lineage, which has been detected in a few individuals from four native Italian
Table 1. Althiburos samples information and summary statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Molecular DNA (%)</th>
<th>Whole Genome Coverage (X)</th>
<th>Mitogenome Coverage (X)</th>
<th>Mitogenome Coverage &gt;3X (%)</th>
<th>Mitochondrial haplogroup</th>
<th>Radiocarbon Date [Cal BP]</th>
<th>Phase/Zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STK233</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>MN/Z2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK234</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13.11</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>96.95</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>2,544–2,361</td>
<td>MN/Z2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT235</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2,765–2,730</td>
<td>MN/Z2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT232</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>96.83</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2,735–2,485</td>
<td>EN3/Z2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK236</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>13.53</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>EN3/Z2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK237</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>EN2/Z2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK239</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>31.98</td>
<td>99.92</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>2,877–2,759</td>
<td>EN2/Z2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK230</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>70.01</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>EN1/Z2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK231</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>19.29</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>EN1/Z2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK241</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>LN2/Z1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK243</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>98.76</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>2,178–2,041</td>
<td>LN2/Z1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK240</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>20.51</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>LN1/Z1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT14 radiocarbon dates – two sigma calibration; n.a. not applicable; EN=Early Numidian; MN=Middle Numidian; LN=Late Numidian; Z1-zone 1; Z2-zone 2. See also Table S1 and Figure S3.

The specimens used in subsequent population genomic analysis are highlighted in bold. Mitochondrial haplogroups shown in italic were determined based on 454-sequencing data.

breeds, as well as the pre-domestic aurochs from North Western Africa (Morocco) for which whole-genome data were recently retrieved (Figure 2). Interestingly, the R-haplogroup was absent in a recent comprehensive genomic study of ancient cattle that included Near Eastern and Anatolian specimens, as well as remains from the Levant which were mostly of the T1-lineage. In addition, the haplogroup assignments from our analysis of 12 cattle specimens for a short D-loop sequence (222 bp) using a PCR-based 454-sequencing approach were validated by the HTS data also obtained from four of these specimens. This allowed us to identify the maternal haplogroups of an additional eight specimens collected in Althiburos, including one (ALT235) and seven (STK230, STK231, STK232, STK236, STK237, STK240, and STK241) individuals of the R and T1 lineages, respectively (Table 1 and Figure S4). Both haplogroups were present in the Early and Middle/Late periods of Numidian occupation. These Iron Age Althiburos specimens are the oldest R-mitogenomes described thus far in domesticated cattle and challenge a proposed Italian origin for this maternal lineage. Our results also provide support to an early concentration of T1-lineages in Eastern Maghreb cattle, and probably across North Africa and the Levant.

Genetic sex determination

We calculated the number of reads mapping to the Y chromosome as a fraction of the total number of alignments to both sex chromosomes to determine the sex of each of the specimens for which HTS data were retrieved (Table 1 and Figure S5; for three out of the 12 samples the poor quality of the data prevented this analysis). Female specimens ALT232, ALT235, and STK234 were assigned statistically with high confidence (Ry ≤ 0.011, 95% CI). In the remaining specimens the confidence interval did not overlap the assignment threshold for females and their Ry ≥ 0.0321 thus suggesting these are males. We also looked at the proportion of reads mapped to chromosomes X and 1 (which have similar sizes, 139.01 and 158.53 Mbp, respectively), and the values obtained were ~0.1 and ~0.5 for females and males, respectively, showing an agreement between the two approaches (Table S2). This corroborates previous results obtained for human specimens that show accurate sex determination even for low-coverage resequencing data, with male and female samples separated in two clear categories (Figure S5).

Population genomic analysis of autosomal DNA

A principal component analysis (PCA) of the four Althiburos specimens included in the phylogeny of cattle mitogenomes was done using 87,471 genome-wide autosomal SNPs overlapping HTS data representative
of the following: African taurine cattle, i.e. the N’Dama breed;\textsuperscript{19} African zebu cattle, i.e. the Boran, Kenana and Ogodem breeds;\textsuperscript{19} commercial taurine cattle, i.e. the Holstein and Angus breeds (BioProject IDs: PRJNA210521 and PRJNA318087, respectively); more diverse European taurine cattle, i.e. eight individuals each belonging to a primitive Iberian breed;\textsuperscript{25} and one pre-domestic Northwest African aurochs.\textsuperscript{21} This PCA revealed a clear separation of the African zebu cattle (PC1; representing 26.3% of the total genomic variation), and a close affinity of the four Althiburos samples and the Moroccan aurochs (T7), plotting in an intermediate position between the European and African taurine cattle (PC2; representing 6.2% of the total genomic variation) (Figure 3A). Similarly, Verdugo et al.\textsuperscript{27} observed that Neolithic and Bronze Age cattle specimens from the southern Levant were closely related to the Epipaleolithic Moroccan aurochs and African taurine cattle, which led the authors to conclude that wild cattle similar to the North African aurochs left their signatures in the genomes of early domesticated cattle from the Levant.

The genetic structure inferred by NGSadmix for K = 2 to K = 4 including the same samples is consistent with the PCA (Figures 3B and 5B). Althiburos domestic cattle share autosomal genome ancestry with the Moroccan aurochs and, contrasting with what was observed by Verdugo et al.\textsuperscript{27} of ubiquitous mitochondrial T1-lineages for Levantine specimens, two of these Eastern Maghreb cattle carried the distinctive R-matrine. Moreover, the affinity between Iron Age Althiburos cattle and present-day taurine counterparts is well-depicted by the NGSadmix analysis, including a shared ancestry with the N’Dama breed representing the Northwest African taurine component. The genetic differentiation of the N’Dama probably results from their continued management as a breed, as well as from the effect of genetic drift. Results
from D-statistics analyses confirmed a significant excess of shared derived alleles between our Althiburos samples and the African taurine N’Dama, as well as the pre-domestic Moroccan aurichs, when compared to European taurine cattle (Figure S7). Interestingly, a single specimen from the Late Numidian period showed some African zebu admixture (STK243), despite the negligible level of indicine ancestry observed in Althiburos cattle (Figure S8). This may reflect an intensification of trading between local Numidian herders and other Mediterranean populations in the more recent phases of occupation. Such change in trading practices is supported by archaeological evidence that during the Late Numidian period (subphase 2) up to 36% of the fragments and 33% of the minimum number of vessels were imported from Carthage or other coastal Punic cities. Another relevant feature of this subphase is the increased presence ofItalic pottery consistent with trade between these regions. Recent findings provide support for multiple migration events of cattle into the Italian peninsula associated with evidence for adaptive introgression of indicine-derived ancestry in native breeds. A high level of zebu admixture has been observed in cattle across the Mediterranean region. Thus, it is possible that local cattle raised by Numidian herders could have been sourced in the context of trade and reached the northern Mediterranean coast, then leaving a signature in the genomes of Southern European breeds (e.g. from Italy). Indeed, the finding of a male specimen in Althiburos showing some indicine ancestry is consistent with the early dispersal of paternal lineages of this type, but unfortunately, we lack sufficient coverage to be able to determine the Y-haplogroup for this sample. Additional studies of paternal variation in past and present-day cattle from these regions are needed to depict male gene flow.

Our results corroborate the introgression of aurichs females into the domestic stock of cattle from Althiburos. Present-day European taurine cattle, including Italian breeds, are more closely related to the Britathon (Mesolithic) and Arabian (Neolithic) aurichs than to the well-differentiated pre-domestic Moroccan aurichs (Verdugo et al.). In contrast, early cattle from the Levant, i.e. from the Neolithic to the Iron Age, already showed significant autosomal allele sharing with the Moroccan aurichs and were differentiated from local Neolithic aurichs. Considering the R-matline is scarce in the archaeological contexts for which genetic data have been retrieved, then the probability that the only African aurichs specimen belongs to this lineage should be extremely low. In addition, bearing in mind that (i) domesticated Iron Age cattle from Althiburos belong to the R and T1 haplogroups, (ii) their autosomal genomes show close affinity with that of the Northwest African aurichs, (iii) and the previously reported absence of R-lineages in the Levant, it is plausible that African taurine cattle may have a local origin in the Maghreb.

Limitations of the study
This study renues the debate on whether there was a third domestication event of taurine cattle in North Africa or if hybridization with local aurichs could explain the patterns of genetic variation observed for cattle from this region. A merit of our study is to provide a first glimpse on the genomic variation of Iron Age cattle from the North-Eastern Maghreb. The inferences we can make are necessarily limited by the nature of ancient DNA, in particular the endogenous DNA content of the Althiburos cattle remains was very little and the average depth of sequencing coverage were extremely low. Thus, we could not use more robust methods to quantify the affinity between the pre-domestic Moroccan aurichs, our Iron Age cattle from Althiburos and present-day taurine cattle from North Africa. Diachronic archaeogenomics data from aurichs and cattle across North Africa and Southern Europe are still lacking. Such information is needed to employ modeling approaches to reconstruct the demographic processes underlying cattle differentiation.

STAR METHODS
Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:
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**KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK232</td>
<td>Institut National de Patrimoine (INP)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK235</td>
<td>Institut National de Patrimoine (INP)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK 230</td>
<td>Institut National de Patrimoine (INP)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK 231</td>
<td>Institut National de Patrimoine (INP)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK 233</td>
<td>Institut National de Patrimoine (INP)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK 234</td>
<td>Institut National de Patrimoine (INP)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK 236</td>
<td>Institut National de Patrimoine (INP)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK 237</td>
<td>Institut National de Patrimoine (INP)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK 239</td>
<td>Institut National de Patrimoine (INP)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK 240</td>
<td>Institut National de Patrimoine (INP)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK 241</td>
<td>Institut National de Patrimoine (INP)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK 243</td>
<td>Institut National de Patrimoine (INP)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinase K</td>
<td>VWR Sweden</td>
<td>Cat#1.24568.0100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA buffer solution pH 8.0 (0.5 mol/L) for biotechnology, sterile</td>
<td>VWR Sweden</td>
<td>Cat#ES22-100ML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium acetate</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich Sweden</td>
<td>Cat#52889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanidine hydrochloride</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich Sweden</td>
<td>Cat#50933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropanol</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich Sweden</td>
<td>Cat#67-63-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween-20</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich Sweden</td>
<td>Cat#9005-64-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium phosphate pH 6.0</td>
<td>VWR Sweden</td>
<td>Cat#101447-426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>Fermentas/Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#R00441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 Poly nucleotide kinase</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#EK0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 DNA polymerase</td>
<td>Fermentas/Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#EP0062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BST polymerase (supplied with 10X Thermopol reaction buffer)</td>
<td>NEB/BioNordika</td>
<td>Cat#M0275S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AmpliTaq Gold</td>
<td>Invitrogen/life technologies</td>
<td>Cat#4311816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>Fermentas/Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#R00441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10X Tango Buffer</td>
<td>Fermentas/Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#BYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Large Volume Kit</td>
<td>Roche</td>
<td>Cat#5114403001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 DNA Ligase</td>
<td>Fermentas/Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#EL0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min Elute PCR Purification Kit</td>
<td>QIAGEN</td>
<td>Cat#28006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG-4000</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#1544649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agencourt AMPure XP beads (60μl)</td>
<td>Beckman Coulter</td>
<td>Cat#A63881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dropr’s</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#R1121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical commercial assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Sensitivity DNA (chips + reagents) (Bioanalyzer 2100)</td>
<td>Agilent Technologies</td>
<td>Cat#5067-4626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYBR Green qPCR master mix (2X)</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>Cat#K0221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European Nucleotide Archive</td>
<td>National Center for Biotechnology Information (nih.gov)</td>
<td>PRJNA803479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>454-sequence data</td>
<td>DRYAD</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.v9s4m71n">https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.v9s4m71n</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Oligonucleotides**

- **IS1_adapter.P5**: 5’-A*C*A*C*TCTTTCCCTACACAGGCTCTTCCAGG*ATC*T*C*T-3’ (**’ indicates a PTO bond)**
  - Meyer & Kircher (2010) [18]
  - Biomers

- **IS2_adapter.P7**: 5’-G*G*M*A*CTGGAGTTCAAGATCCTGCTCCAGG*A*T*M*C*T-3’ (**’ indicates a PTO bond)**
  - Meyer & Kircher (2010) [18]
  - Biomers

- **IS3_adapter.P5+P7**: 5’-A*G*A*T*CGGAAAG*G*C-3’ (**’ indicates a PTO bond)**
  - Meyer & Kircher (2010) [18]
  - Biomers

- **IS4**: (5’-AATGATACGGCAGTACGAGATCTGAAGAGCTCTT ‘) - TACACTTCTCCCTACAAGCAGGCTCTT 3’
  - Meyer & Kircher (2010) [18]
  - Biomers

- **P7 Indexing**: 5’-CAAGCAGAGGACGACATATCGGATXxxxxxGTAGACTGGAGGTCAGACGTG 30% where x is one of 228 different 7 bp indexes provided in McHugh et al. [16]

- **IS7 qPCR primer**: 5’- AACTCTTTCCTACACAGGAC-3’
  - Meyer & Kircher (2010) [18]
  - Biomers

- **IS8 Oper PRIMER**: 5’- GTGACTGGAGGTCAGACGTG 3’
  - Meyer & Kircher (2010) [18]
  - Biomers

**Software and algorithms**

- **AdapterRemoval version 2**: Schubert, Lindgreen & Orlando (2016) [19]
  - https://github.com/MikkeSchubert/adapterremoval

- **Burrows-Wheeler Aligner BWA aln version 0.7.17**: Li & Durbin (2009) [18]
  - https://github.com/lh3/bwa

- **Samtools version 1.8**: Li (2011) [21]
  - http://www.htslib.org/

- **bcftools from the SAMtools package**: Källä et al. [27]
  - http://www.htslib.org/

- **Picard toolkit version 2.18.5**: Broad Institute, GitHub Repository
  - https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard

- **GATK version 3.7**: McKenna et al. (2010) [52]
  - https://software.broadinstitute.org/gatk

- **MapDamage version 2.0**: Jönsson et al. (2013) [43]
  - https://github.com/gnoPac/mapDamage

- **Qualimap**: Okonechnikov, Conesa & García-Alcalde (2014) [44]
  - http://qualimap.bioinfo.cipf.es/

- **Genious prime version 2019.0.4**: Biomatters, Inc.
  - https://www.geneious.com/

- **ANGS2 version 0.931**: Karnefjussen, Albrechtsen & Nielsen (2014) [45]
  - http://www.popgen.dk/angs

  - http://www.drive5.com/muscle/

- **BEAST version 1.10.4**: Suchard et al. (2018) [67]
  - https://beast.community/

- **ModelTest-NG version 0.1.7**: Dianzana et al. (2020) [68]
  - https://github.com/dianzana/modeltest/releases

- **Tracer version 1.7.1**: Rambaut et al. (2018) [49]
  - https://beast.community/tracer

- **FigTree version 1.4.4**: Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, UK
  - http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/

- **PCAmerge version 0.1**: Meinsner & Albrechtsen (2018) [50]
  - http://www.popgen.dk/software/index.php/PCAngsd

- **NGSadmix version 32**: Skotte, Karnefjussen & Albrechtsen (2013) [51]
  - http://www.popgen.dk/so/iware/index.php/NGSAdmix
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Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Catarina Ginja (catarinaginja@cibio.up.pt).

Materials availability
This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability
- Data: The raw reads comprising newly generated genome data were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SAMN02363738-SAMN02363746) with the corresponding BioProject PRJNA803479. The 454-sequence data of the mitochondrial D-loop fragments is available at DRYAD (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.v9v4m71n).
- Code: This paper does not report original code.
- Other items: Any additional information required to reanalyse the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Archaeological information and context
The sampling of the archaeological materials involved archaeologists, zooarchaeologists and local authorities in the framework of a collaborative project established between the Institut National de Patrimoine (INP) - Tunisia, the Catalan Institute for Classical Archaeology (ICAC) and the University of Barcelona (UB) - Spain. This research involved the sub-sampling of zooarchaeological specimens for DNA extraction and subsequent genomic analyses, as well as radiocarbon dating to confirm their historic context. Through collaborations with archaeologists and zooarchaeologists, we used well-documented specimens, and sub-sampling was carried out based on agreements and partnership protocols for multidisciplinary research in which the results are of interest to all the institutions involved (INP, ICAC and UB). Sub-sampling for ancient DNA analyses was carried out at the Centre for Palaeogenetics (CPG), Stockholm University, Sweden, following international standards and routine procedures optimized to minimise the damage in the bone/tooth specimens, despite abundance of livestock materials in most archaeological contexts of human occupation. There was no risk to the individuals taking part in this research, and we do not think this project raises potential ethical issues.

METHOD DETAILS

DNA extraction
Analyses of ancient DNA were conducted in the dedicated ancient DNA (aDNA) facilities at the Centre for Palaeogenetics (CPG), Stockholm University, Sweden. The bone/tooth specimens were submitted to UV sterilisation and removal of ~1 mm from the outermost surfaces with a clean razor blade to eliminate potential surface contaminants. We obtained approximately 90 mg of bone powder from each specimen using a multitool drill (Dremel<sup>TM</sup>) at low rpm to avoid local heating. One negative control was included in every batch of 6 samples. The bone/tooth powder was incubated overnight in 1 mL of digestion buffer (0.45 M EDTA pH 8.0 and 0.25 mg/mL of proteinase K) at 38°C under constant rotation. DNA was extracted and purified using a silica-binding method optimised for short DNA fragments. After incubation, the samples were centrifuged and 1 mL of supernatant was added to a 13 mL of binding buffer (5 M guanidine hydrochloride, 40% v/v isopropanol, 0.05% Tween-20 and 90 mM sodium acetate pH 5.2) in a 50 mL Falcon tube. This solution containing the binding buffer and the extraction supernatant was transferred into a 50 mL silica column (Roche, High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Large Volume Kit). Following centrifugation for 6 min at 4,000 rpm, the Roche falcon tube was removed and the silica column containing each sample was placed into a new 2 mL collection tube. The silica column tube was then centrifuged for 1 min at 6,000 rpm, and the DNA purified by adding 750 µL PE buffer (QIAGEN) to the silica column followed by centrifugation for 1 min at 13,200 rpm. The flow-through was discarded and this washing step was repeated. The flow-through was discarded and the silica column was dried by centrifugation for 1 min at maximum speed (16,000 rpm) and placed in a new 1.5 mL collection tube. We added 22 µL of TET buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% Tween-20) to the centre of the silica membrane for elution.
and, after 5 min incubation at 37°C, the DNA was collected by centrifugation for 1 min at maximum speed (16,000 rpm). This step was repeated to obtain a final volume of 44μl of DNA extract (stored at -20°C).

Preparation of genomic libraries

Double stranded genomic libraries were prepared from 20 μl of DNA extract using the blunt end ligation protocol described by Meyer and Kircher with modifications as in Günther et al. Due to ancient DNA damage the initial fragmentation step was not done. Indexing PCRs were set up in a total volume of 25 μl with a final concentration of 1x Gold Buffer (Invitrogen/life technologies), 2.5 mM Magnesium Chloride (Invitrogen/life technologies), 250 μM dNTP (each), 3 μl of DNA library, 0.2 μM IS4 primer (5'-AATGATACGGGCGACCACCGAGATCT-3'), 0.2 μM indexing primer (5'-CAAGCGAGAAGACACCTTCTACTATTGATCTTCT-3') and 0.2 μM indexing primer (5'-CAAGCGAGAAGACACCTTCTACTATTGATCTTCT-3') (where x is one of the 228 different 7 bp indexes provided by Karllevi and 0.1 U/μl of AmpliTaq Gold (Invitrogen/life technologies). Cycling conditions were the following: 12 min activation step at 94°C, 8-15 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 60°C, 45 s at 72°C; a final extension step of 10 min at 72°C. The optimal number of PCR cycles for amplification of the genomic libraries was determined using qPCR and varied between 7 and 13. Each library was amplified in four replicates along with two PCR blanks using an index in the qPCR. PCR products from the same library were pooled and purified with Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). The concentration and size profiles of the purified libraries were identified on a Bioanalyzer 2100 using the High Sensitivity DNA chip (Agilent). Purified libraries were pooled in equimolar concentration and sequenced (2 x 100 bp) on an Illumina HiSeq X instrument at the National Genomics Infrastructure (NGI, SciLifeLab) in Stockholm, Sweden. The DNA was not subjected to USER treatment prior to library preparation.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Sequence pre-processing and mapping

Adapter sequences were trimmed from our Illumina shotgun resequencing data and overlapping paired-end reads were merged requiring an overlap of 11 bp using the AdapterRemoval software version 2.44. Reads shorter than 30 bp length and with quality score below 20 were discarded. The merged reads were then mapped as single-end reads using BWA aln version 0.7.17d11 to the Bos taurus reference genome version 3.1.1 (bosTaur; GenBank accession number: GCA_000003055.5); Btau_4.6.1 (bosTaur7; GenBank accession number: GCA_000003205.4), which contains an assembled Y-chromosome; and the reference mitogenome (GenBank accession number: NC_006853.1). The mapping was done with modified settings for deactivated seeding (-i 1000), more substitutions (-n 0.01) and up to two gaps (-e 2). Only the reads with mapping quality higher than 25 were selected for the downstream process using SAM tools version 1.8.44. For each sample, the BAM files of independent resequencing runs were merged and PCR duplicates were removed with the Picard tools software version 2.18.5 (MarkDuplicates REMOVE_DUPLICATES=true option) and the generated reads were realigned with GATK version 3.7.42. We used Qualimap version 2.2.144 to generate summary reports from the BAM files obtained for each sample, to assess the quality of the samples based on their endogenous DNA content, i.e., the percentage of reads mapping to the cattle genome, and calculate the mean depths of coverage.

Damage patterns

We used the MapDamage software version 2.055 to verify the authenticity of the ancient DNA resequencing data. All genomic libraries presented post-mortem damage patterns, as expected due to the biological processes following cell death and which are characteristic of ancient DNA sequences, i.e., typical C-to-T and G-to-A deaminations at the 5' and 3'-termini, and high levels of fragmentation. We then apply the -rescale option to the filtered bam files to downscale the quality scores at positions likely affected by deamination according to their initial quality values, position in reads and damage patterns. The new rescaled bam files generated were used for downstream analyses.

Phylogenetic Bayesian analysis of mitogenomes

The phylogenetic relationships among our Aithiburos cattle specimens and other published archaeological and extant samples (N=94, see Table S3) were studied using complete mitogenomes together with the Bayesian inference implemented in BEAST version 1.10.4.47 Consensus sequences were obtained using the software ANGSD version 0.931.48 considering only the reads with base quality above 20, mapping quality above 30 and with more than 3X coverage to call confident bases. The ambiguous low-coverage
positions were defined as undetermined (N), using –doFasta 2. Consensus sequences were also visually inspected using Geneious Prime version 2019.0.4 (https://www.geneious.com). The consensus sequences were aligned with mitogenomes available from public repositories, representative of each maternal haplotype, and including extant Iberian breeds, using MUSCLE version 3.8.425. The analysis was restricted to sequences with at least 90% of the mitogenome covered at 3X, with a total length of 16,348 bp. We assessed the best-fit model of nucleotide evolution on ModelTest-NG version 0.1.7 and selected the HKY+G4 substitution model under the Akaike Information Criteria. In order to account for cattle population size changes through time and serial sampling, a GMRFBayesian skyride model was assumed to describe the coalescent process over the phylogenetic tree. Mean calibrated radiocarbon ages (see Table S3) were used as priors for all ancient samples. Bovid mitochondrial mutation rate was taken from a broad exponential distribution with mean 1.0E-7 per bp per year and a strict molecular clock was assumed. The overall mean mutation rate was estimated to be 5.4E-8 (95% HPD interval 4.34E-8 - 6.58E-8), which agrees with previous studies. Three independent Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains, each with 20 million iterations, sampled at every 1,000 iterations were run. The results of each MCMC chain were analysed using Tracer version 1.7.1 to check for their stability, convergence and if for all parameters sufficient sampling was reached by examining the effective sample size values (i.e. equal or higher than 200). The trees of the individual runs were combined using Logcombiner version 1.10.4 (10% burn-in) and summarized using TreeAnnotator version 1.10.4 (both packages within BEAST). The resulting Maximum Clade Credibility tree, where median heights were used as node heights (or ages), was then visualized in FigTree version 1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

PCR-based 454-sequence data

The sub-sampling was done as above. Following, we used the Yang et al. guanidinium/silica-based method for DNA extraction with modifications as in Svensson et al. In brief, after overnight protease K digestion (EDTA 0.5 M pH 8.0, Urea 1 M, 0.20 mg/ml protease K), the lysates were concentrated to 100 μl (Millipore-Amicon Ultra-4 30k Da, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and commercial MinElute spin columns (Qiagen Nordic, Sweden) used for ancient DNA recovery following the manufacturer’s protocol. Two negative extraction controls were included in every batch of 6 samples. We analysed two overlapping mitochondrial D-loop fragments of 157 bp and 139 bp with the oligonucleotide primer pairs AN2_F: 5'-GCCCCATGATATAAGCAAG - 3' and KO1: 5'-ACCCATTAGATCAGCATCAATAAAG - 3' (respective.

These primers are specific for cattle and allow us to recover the majority of the haplotypic variability comprised within the maternal haplogroups observed in worldwide breeds. They are also useful to infer maternal lineages in ancient samples of domestic cattle and aux LONG 60 cycles of denaturation at 96°C (15 s), annealing at 55°C (30 s for AN2_F and AN1_R) or 57°C for (30 s for KO1/KO2), and extension at 72°C (30 s); a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. Negative controls were used and all extraction blanks were subject to PCR amplification. Amplifications were verified by the electrophoresis of 5 μL of each PCR product in 2% ethidium bromide agarose gels in Tris/Borate/EDTA (TBE) (0.5x) buffer. Electrophoresis was run at 18V/cm for 30 min. Samples that yielded PCR products of the expected sizes were sequenced following purification with the QiAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Tagged primers were used for multiplexing in EMU polymerase and individual identification subsequently to 454-sequencing as detailed in Malmström et al. We used the Quant-iTM diiDNA High-Sensitivity Assay Kit (Invitrogen) to quantify the purified PCR products, which were then pooled in equimolar mixtures and sequenced on the Genome Sequencer FLX System (Roche). The GALAXY platform (http://galaxy.psu.edu/), a web-based genome analysis package, was used to obtain sequence files for each sample. Sequence reads were identified through unique combinations of primers and tags for each sample and aligned against reference NCBI sequences. Stringent criteria for ancient DNA analysis and sequence authentication were followed. For multiple alignments, the Geneious Prime version 2019.0.4 (https://www.geneious.com) software was used, and consensus sequences were generated for each individual from independent amplifications. A neighbor-joining phylogeny of genetic distances was obtained using the same software with the HKY model of sequence evolution for determination of the maternal haplogroups of the Altriburos specimens.
Sex determination

For sex assignment of the Althiburos specimens we used the resequencing data mapped to the Bos taurus reference genome version 6.0.6 (Btau_6.0; GenBank accession number: GCA_000003205.4) to obtain Y chromosome reads. We then used the method described by Skoglund and colleagues, which is adequate for low-coverage ancient DNA resequencing data, to determine the biological sex of the Althiburos specimens. The number of alignments to the Y-chromosome (nY) was calculated as a fraction of the total number of alignments to both sex chromosomes (nX+nY), where RY=nY/(nX+nY), with 95% confidence intervals. The proportion of reads mapped to the X-chromosome and chromosome 1 (which have similar sizes) was also estimated.

Population structure

Population structure was assessed using whole-genome data obtained for four Althiburos specimens and 60 samples from public repositories, as follows: 10 Holstein (Bioproject ID: PRJNA210521); 3 Angus (PRJNA318087); 10 N'Dama, 9 Kenana, 9 Ogaben and 10 Boran (PRJNA312138); 1 Brava de Lide (fighting cattle), 1 Barrosi, 1 Maronesa, 1 Arouquesa, 1 Mertolenga, 1 Preta, 1 Alentejana and 1 Miranda (PRJNA528245), and 1 pre-domestic Northwest African aurochs (PRJEB21621). For more details on these data see Table S4. These analyses were carried out using methods that take the statistical uncertainty associated with genotype calling in low coverage sequencing data into account. SNPs were called with ANGSD version 0.931 using the filtered BAM files with genotype likelihoods estimated with the samtools model, considering only bases with quality above 20, reads with mapping quality above 30, autosome sites, a minimum minor allele frequency of 0.05, a maximum of 10% missing data (58 out of a total of 64 individuals) and a p-value <1e-6 (angsd -bam bam.list -doG#2 -GL 1 -minQ 20 -minMapQ 30 -rt autosomes.list -doMajor-Minor 1 -doMaf 0.5 -SNP_pval 1e-6 -doCounts 1 -minInd 58 -minMaf 0.05). We selected variants that were present in at least one of the ancient samples to avoid bias from having missing data mainly in the Althiburos specimens. The resulting beagle file with a total of 87,471 SNPs was used to calculate a covariance matrix in PCAngsd version 0.1. This matrix was then loaded into R 3.4.4 (https://www.R-project.org) where the function eigen was used to perform a principal component analysis (PCA). The same beagle file was used in NGSmix software version 3.2 to define the ancestry clusters and admixture proportions. NGSmix was run with K ranging from 2 to 4 ancestral populations, which was ideal for determining the patterns of Indicine, African and European taurine cattle ancestry, for 500 seed values, i.e. enough to reach convergence in each run. We also estimated D-statistics to explicitly test for introgression by determining if there is an excess of shared derived alleles between Althiburos cattle (H1 group) and the Moroccan aurochs (H3) or N'Dama cattle (H3) in comparison with an European taurine breed (H2), i.e. Holstein-Friesian, using the Yak (Bos mutus; Bioproject ID: PRJNA74739) as an outgroup. We applied an extended version of the D-statistic which can use multiple individuals per population sequenced at low coverage and is implemented in ANGSD (keeping only autosomal sites with minimum base quality of 20 and mapping quality of 30, discarding transitions and triallelic sites). It takes observed allele frequencies for each individual in a population which are then combined linearly to find an unbiased estimator of population frequency while minimizing the variance.