

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ympev

Historical specimens and the limits of subspecies phylogenomics in the New World quails (Odontophoridae)

Check for updates

Jessie F. Salter^{a,*}, Peter A. Hosner^{b,c}, Whitney L.E. Tsai^d, John E. McCormack^{d,e}, Edward L. Braun^c, Rebecca T. Kimball^c, Robb T. Brumfield^a, Brant C. Faircloth^a

^a Museum of Natural Science and Department of Biological Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA

^b Natural History Museum of Denmark, Center for Global Mountain Biodiversity, and Center for Macroecology, Evolution, and Climate, University of Copenhagen,

Copenhagen, Denmark

^c Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

^d Moore Laboratory of Biology, Occidental College, Los Angeles, CA, USA

^e Biology Department, Occidental College, Los Angeles, CA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Historical DNA Phylogenetics UCEs Taxonomy Systematics Galliformes

ABSTRACT

As phylogenomics focuses on comprehensive taxon sampling at the species and population/subspecies levels, incorporating genomic data from historical specimens has become increasingly common. While historical samples can fill critical gaps in our understanding of the evolutionary history of diverse groups, they also introduce additional sources of phylogenomic uncertainty, making it difficult to discern novel evolutionary relationships from artifacts caused by sample quality issues. These problems highlight the need for improved strategies to disentangle artifactual patterns from true biological signal as historical specimens become more prevalent in phylogenomic datasets. Here, we tested the limits of historical specimen-driven phylogenomics to resolve subspecies-level relationships within a highly polytypic family, the New World quails (Odontophoridae), using thousands of ultraconserved elements (UCEs). We found that relationships at and above the species-level were well-resolved and highly supported across all analyses, with the exception of discordant relationships within the two most polytypic genera which included many historical specimens. We examined the causes of discordance and found that inferring phylogenies from subsets of taxa resolved the disagreements, suggesting that analyzing subclades can help remove artifactual causes of discordance in datasets that include historical samples. At the subspecies-level, we found well-resolved geographic structure within the two most polytypic genera, including the most polytypic species in this family, Northern Bobwhites (Colinus virginianus), demonstrating that variable sites within UCEs are capable of resolving phylogenetic structure below the species level. Our results highlight the importance of complete taxonomic sampling for resolving relationships among polytypic species, often through the inclusion of historical specimens, and we propose an integrative strategy for understanding and addressing the uncertainty that historical samples sometimes introduce to phylogenetic analyses.

1. Introduction

Phylogenomic studies during the previous two decades have used increasing numbers of loci to resolve relationships at finer and finer taxonomic scales from families (Hackett et al., 2008) to genera (Burleigh et al., 2015) to species (Harvey et al., 2020). Although some of these deeper relationships are still debated (Reddy et al., 2017), the attention of phylogenetics has begun to turn towards resolving relationships at and below the species level (Harvey et al., 2016). Dense sampling at the species and subspecies levels has historically been limited by the cost of generating sequence data and the availability of tissues suitable for DNA extraction. However, improvements in sequencing and laboratory techniques have provided solutions to both problems by reducing the unit cost of sequencing and enabling the collection of genome-scale data from contemporary and historical sources, such as museum specimens (Bi et al., 2013; Derkarabetian et al., 2019; Faircloth et al., 2015; McCormack et al., 2017; Ruane and Austin, 2017; Tsai et al., 2019b). Historical specimens are often used to fill sampling gaps left by rare,

Abbreviations: UCEs, ultraconserved elements.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2022.107559

Received 15 November 2021; Received in revised form 19 May 2022; Accepted 26 May 2022 Available online 5 July 2022 1055-7903/© 2022 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Ornithology Department, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, 900 Exposition Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90007, USA. *E-mail address: jessie.salter@gmail.com* (J.F. Salter).

endangered, or extinct taxa that lack available tissues, and their inclusion in phylogenomic analyses can dramatically reshape our understanding of the evolutionary history, systematics, and taxonomy of organismal groups (Salter et al., 2020). Yet, for all the opportunities museum specimens offer, they also introduce novel methodological challenges and potential sources of error in downstream phylogenomic analyses, particularly when a study focuses on resolving fine-scale differences among species and subspecies.

For example, previous studies incorporating historical specimens have noted several recurrent issues associated with sample quality that manifest as failures to detect some loci, shorter contigs assembled for detected loci, and DNA damage within assembled contigs (Hosner et al., 2016; Moyle et al., 2016; Oliveros et al., 2019; Salter et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2020; Swanson et al., 2019). These effects can lead to analytical issues like abnormally long branch lengths (McCormack et al., 2012), alternative placements of taxa between concatenated and coalescent analyses (Moyle et al., 2016; Oliveros et al., 2019; Salter et al., 2020), and consistent placement of historical samples as sister to all remaining taxa within certain clades (Moyle et al., 2016; Oliveros, 2015). Discordant topologies that include historical samples are especially vexing because it can be unclear whether legitimate differences arise from more complete taxonomic sampling or whether the incorporation of sequencing and assembly errors from lower quality samples is driving spurious results. Unresolved differences in placement can also leave lingering uncertainty surrounding the evolutionary history of lineages that might be important targets for conservation or additional study (Salter et al., 2020). Although these issues have been noted repeatedly, few studies (Moyle et al., 2016; Oliveros, 2015; Smith et al., 2020) have explored mechanisms for addressing these apparent analytical artifacts.

Here, we use historical and contemporary specimens to reconstruct a subspecies phylogeny of a highly polytypic group of birds, the New World quails (Odontophoridae). New World quails are small (140–170 g) terrestrial birds found in forest and grassland habitats from southern Canada to southeastern Brazil and northern Argentina (Brennan et al., 2020; Carroll, 1994). Originally named for the serrated edge of their mandible (from the Greek *odonto*, tooth, *phor*, bearer, i.e., tooth-bearer) (Johnsgard, 1988), New World quails are distinguished by their complex plumage patterns and occasional head ornamentation, ranging from crests to teardrop-shaped plumes to single-feather "spikes." The family reaches peak diversity in southern Mexico and Central America, where 17 species are found and up to eight species may co-occur (Johnsgard, 1988).

The taxonomic status of the New World quails has long been the subject of debate. Although New and Old World quails have been recognized as distinct clades since the first comprehensive taxonomy of quails and partridges (Ogilvie-Grant, 1893), phenotypic similarities between New and Old World quail species resulted in the description of New World quails as either a tribe (Odontophorini; Verheyen, 1956) or a subfamily (Odontophorinae; Ogilvie-Grant, 1896) within the pheasants (Phasianidae). Based on comparative osteological evidence, Holman (1961) argued New World quails warranted recognition as a distinct family, an idea validated by DNA-DNA hybridization analyses (Sibley and Ahlquist, 1986, 1985) that showed New World quails were more divergent from Old World galliformes than other New World taxa such as turkeys and grouse. More recent molecular studies of Galliformes have confirmed the placement of New World quails as sister to pheasants (Phasianidae; Cox et al., 2007; Hosner et al., 2016; Kimball and Braun, 2014; Wang et al., 2013). Surprisingly, these results also revealed a sister relationship between the clade of New World quails and African (Old World) partridges in the genus Ptilopachus (Cohen et al., 2012; Crowe et al., 2006; Hosner et al., 2015), calling into question whether the sister lineage of pheasants consists of only "New World" species. Dating analyses and inferred rates of sequence evolution suggest Ptilopachus and the New World quails diverged from an Old World common ancestor 32 Ma, coincident with the existence of the Beringian land bridge between the Nearctic and Palearctic (Hosner et al., 2015).

Because referring to Odontophoridae as "New World" quail is inconsistent with the inclusion of *Ptilopachus*, we will refer to the group as "odontophorids".

Similar to higher level galliform taxonomy, early systematics within odontophorids used comparative osteology (Holman, 1961) and species ecology (Johnsgard, 1973) to describe the relationships among genera. Both classification schemes identified two major clades (Gutiérrez et al., 1983): the Odontophorus group, comprising the genera Odontophorus, Rhynchortyx, Dactylortyx, and Cyrtonyx; and the Dendrortyx group, comprising the genera Dendrortyx, Philortyx, Oreortyx, Colinus, and Callipepla (Fig. 1A and 1B). Although molecular studies of odontophorids have validated the general membership of each clade, most recent studies suggest the monotypic genus Rhynchortyx is sister to both clades (Fig. 1C) while the arrangement of genera within each clade has differed (Cohen et al., 2012; Crowe et al., 2006; Hosner et al., 2015). The most complete molecular phylogeny of odontophorids (Hosner et al., 2015) included sequence data from three mitochondrial and eight nuclear loci from 23 species and recovered strong support across analyses for intergeneric relationships (Fig. 1C).

At the species-level, relationships within odontophorids are less clear. For example, the numbers of odontophorid species and subspecies have fluctuated dramatically through time (Fig. 2), largely due to the difficulty of ascribing consistent taxonomic boundaries to a group that displays remarkable phenotypic variability (Johnsgard, 1988). As a result, different taxonomies recognize anywhere from 27 to 35 species distributed among ten genera (Carroll, 2019; Clements et al., 2019; Dickinson and Remsen, 2013; Johnsgard, 1988). This uncertainty is magnified at the subspecies level, where 126 to 145 subspecies of odontophorids are recognized, primarily based on variation in plumage and disjunctions in geographic ranges (Carroll, 2019; Clements et al., 2019; Dickinson and Remsen, 2013; Johnsgard, 1988). To put this incredible phenotypic diversity in context, odontophorids are more polytypic than 89% of all other bird families (Dickinson and Remsen, 2013), when controlling for family size, including the famously polytypic pheasants. Interestingly, this diversity is not distributed evenly across the family: 13 species of odontophorids are monotypic, while the three species of bobwhites (genus Colinus) include 44 subspecies approximately one-third the total diversity of the entire family (Dickinson and Remsen, 2013). Previous genetic studies with subspecies-level sampling of odontophorids have included only three genera comprising less than half of all subspecies (Callipepla, Zink and Blackwell, 1998; Colinus, Williford et al., 2016, 2014; and Dendrortyx, Tsai et al., 2019a) and, with the exception of Dendrortyx (Tsai et al., 2019a), all have used a small number of mitochondrial loci (Williford et al., 2016, 2014; Zink and Blackwell, 1998). Furthermore, within the two most polytypic genera, Odontophorus and Colinus, different analyses have produced equivocal results, often with low support (Hosner et al., 2015; Williford et al., 2016, 2014). As a result, it is unclear whether the lack of resolution within these relatively young clades (4–5 Ma; Hosner et al., 2015; Williford et al., 2016) reflects real biological signal arising from differences in locus histories due to incomplete lineage sorting or introgression, or whether the lack of resolution is simply due to low power of the small number of loci sampled.

Incomplete sampling at the species and subspecies level combined with analyses including few independent loci have limited our understanding at all taxonomic levels within odontophorids and obscured how evolutionary processes may have shaped the remarkable phenotypic diversity observed in this group, but sampling efforts have been limited by lack of access to fresh tissues for many range-restricted and increasingly rare taxa. Sixty-nine percent of odontophorid species have experienced population declines during the past century, and 31% of species are listed as near-threatened or vulnerable by the IUCN (IUCN, 2020). However, historical collections of odontophorids are extensive (>40,000 specimens listed on VertNet.org as of February 2021) due to their popularity as game birds. The extensive availability of historical specimens makes odontophorids an ideal taxonomic group to address



Fig. 1. Previous hypotheses of odontophorid relationships based on morphology, ecology, and molecular markers. Odontophorus group is shown in blue; Dendrortyx group in pink. (A) Holman's (1961) phyletic hypothesis based on comparative osteology. (B) Johnsgard's (1973) phyletic hypothesis based on species ecology. (C) Hosner's (2015) phylogenetic hypothesis based on molecular sequence data. Circles at the base of each genus are scaled to the number of species (shown in triangles); number of subspecies shown in parentheses after each genus. Maximum likelihood (ML) bootstrap support values shown above each node; Bayesian posterior probability shown below. Asterisks indicate 100% ML bootstrapping support / posterior probability.



Fig. 2. Changes in odontophorid taxonomy through time with different classification schemes (x-axis). The number of species (purple) is shown on the left axis; the number of subspecies (red) is shown on the right axis. Publication year is listed above the x-axis. Dotted line at Johnsgard (1988) shows the number of species when closely related allopatric species are collapsed to subspecies. HBW = Handbook of the Birds of the World Alive.

some of the larger questions about the role of sample quality in phylogenomic analyses at the species and subspecies level, which we investigate by performing an analysis of ultraconserved elements (UCEs) collected from 42 modern tissues, 83 historical specimens, and six published genomes representing 115 odontophorid taxa (88% of all subspecies) from 83 states/provinces in 22 countries.

2. Methods

2.1. Taxonomy

For the sake of clarity throughout the manuscript, we followed version 4 of the Howard and Moore taxonomy (Dickinson and Remsen, 2013), which recognizes 10 genera, 33 species, and 131 subspecies of odontophorids. We recognize that subspecies are imperfect taxonomic units that may describe organisms at different stages on the continuum between populations and species (O'Neill, 1982). We chose to focus our sampling strategy at the subspecies level because: (1) subspecies are, in theory, used to describe diagnosable populations (Mayr, 1982); (2) subspecies are used in management and conservation decisions for this group (e.g., Eo et al., 2009); and (3) because this approach allowed us to evaluate whether current subspecies taxonomy represents meaningful evolutionary units across odontophorids.

2.2. Sampling and DNA extraction

We collected new sequence data from 120 samples, including 78 toepads from historical specimens and 42 tissues (Table 1). To avoid resampling historical specimens, we also incorporated published sequence data from five individuals (Tsai et al., 2019b), and we harvested UCE loci from whole genome assemblies (Table 1) for six additional individuals using Phyluce (Faircloth, 2016) following the Phyluce Tutorial III guidelines (Faircloth, 2015). Whenever possible, we sampled two individuals of each monotypic odontophorid species. Our final sampling design included sequence data collected from 42 tissues, 83 toepads (collected between 1906 and 1996), and six published genomes spanning 125 ingroup samples corresponding to 115 of the 131 subspecies of odontophorids (88%) and six outgroup species from other families in Galliformes and the sister order Anseriformes (Table 1).

We extracted total DNA from tissues using a Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit following the manufacturer's instructions, and we extracted total DNA from toepads of historical museum specimens using a phenol–chloroform protocol (Tsai et al., 2019b).

2.3. Sequence capture and next-generation sequencing

We prepared genomic libraries from all DNA extracts and performed target enrichment of ultraconserved elements (UCEs; Faircloth et al., 2012a) from genomic libraries following the protocol outlined in Salter et al. (2020). In brief, we sheared tissue samples using a QSonica ultrasonicator to a peak size distribution of 400 to 600 bp. We did not shear toepad samples because they already had a peak size distribution of 100 to 300 bp due to DNA degradation (McCormack et al., 2015). We prepared dual-indexed genomic libraries of each sample using the KAPA Hyper Prep library preparation kit (F. Hoffman-LaRoche AG, Basel, Switzerland) and custom indexes (Glenn et al., 2019). We combined the libraries into fourteen pools containing between six and eight samples for enrichment, and we kept tissues and toepads in separate pools. We enriched each library pool for 5,060 UCE loci using a MYbaits_Tetrapods-UCE-5 K kit (Daicel Arbor Biosciences, Ann Arbor, MI) following a protocol modified from Faircloth et al. (2012b, 2018). After enrichment, we performed 16 cycles of PCR recovery. To remove adapter-dimers, we processed each enriched pool with a Qiagen GeneRead Size Selection Kit, which removes fragments below 150 bp. We then ran postenrichment pools on a Bioanalyzer to verify peak size distributions and ensure the absence of adapter-dimers. Finally, we quantified pools free of adapter-dimers using the KAPA qPCR quantification kit, and we combined pools at equimolar ratios prior to collecting sequence data using two lanes of 150-bp paired-end (PE150) sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 3000 (Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Oklahoma City, OK).

2.4. Bioinformatic processing, assembly, and alignment of UCEs

After receiving demultiplexed reads from the sequencing facility, we used illumiprocessor (Faircloth, 2013), a wrapper around Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014), to remove adapter sequences from the data and trim raw reads for quality. We followed this same procedure to incorporate reads from the five toepad samples sequenced by Tsai et al. (2019a). Because some libraries received a larger number of FASTO reads than others, we used seqtk (Li, 2012) to randomly downsample libraries having>1.5 million cleaned read pairs (i.e., 3 million reads, in total). We then assembled the data using itero v1.1.2 (Faircloth, 2018), a guided iterative assembly approach designed to improve assembly of target enrichment data. To start the assembly process, itero uses bwa (Li and Durbin, 2009) to seed reads with a reference sequence and assemble loci using SPAdes (Bankevich et al., 2012); each subsequent round of assembly uses the assembled contigs from the previous iteration as the seed. We performed five iterations of assembly with itero using the UCE probe sequences we targeted during enrichment as the initial seed, and after five rounds of assembly we discarded contigs with<5x coverage. To check assembled libraries for the correct species identification and potential contamination, we ran the phyluce program match-contigs-tobarcodes (Faircloth, 2016) using a Colinus virginianus COI sequence (NCBI GenBank DQ432859.1) as a reference. We then input extracted contigs that matched the reference COI to NCBI BLAST (Johnson et al., 2008) to compare the extracted sequences to those present in NCBI GenBank, confirm the identity of each sample, and check for any contaminating (different species identity) COI sequences. Following assembly, we used phyluce v1.6.7 (Faircloth, 2016) to export the UCE loci into a database, from which we created an incomplete matrix of loci across all samples. We aligned the incomplete matrix with mafft v7.407 (Katoh and Standley, 2013) using default parameters and internally trimmed the alignment with the -automated1 option in trimAl v1.4. rev15 (Capella-Gutiérrez et al., 2009), before creating a matrix of loci where every locus had at least 75% taxon occupancy.

2.5. UCE phylogenies with concatenated and coalescent methods.

After concatenating loci in the 75% complete data matrix, we used raxml-ng v0.9.0 (Kozlov et al., 2019) to estimate a maximum likelihood (ML) tree from the unpartitioned data. We estimated 20 ML trees, selected the tree that best fit the data, and we estimated branch support on the best fitting tree by bootstrapping with the autoMRE function, which checks for convergence every 50 bootstraps. The analysis converged after 100 bootstrap replicates, and we reconciled the best ML tree with the bootstrap replicates using raxml-ng. We collapsed nodes with < 70% bootstrap support (Hillis and Bull, 1993).

To account for heterogeneous gene/locus histories in our UCE data, we also used a coalescent-based approach to estimate a species tree. Specifically, we selected SVDquartets (Chifman and Kubatko, 2014) for these analyses because our dataset included many historical samples, which have fewer loci and shorter contigs than tissue samples (Table 1), and SVDquartets is less sensitive to these types of missing data than gene tree reconciliation methods (Hosner et al., 2016; Moyle et al., 2016; Oliveros et al., 2019; Salter et al., 2020; Sayyari et al., 2017). To infer the SVDquartets tree, we used PAUP* v4.0a166 (Swofford, 2002) to evaluate all quartets by singular value decomposition and perform bootstrap analysis (svdq evalq = all bootstrap nthreads = 12). We collapsed nodes with < 70% bootstrap support (Hillis and Bull, 1993).

2.6. Subset phylogenies with concatenated and coalescent methods

We observed several inconsistencies between the concatenated ML topology and the SVDquartets topology (hereafter "complete" datasets) within the *Odontophorus* and *Colinus* clades that we hypothesized were spurious results caused by the inclusion of low-quality historical samples, which can have a higher noise to signal ratio. Specifically, we

Table 1

Sample information and sequencing statistics. Type refers to type of material: T = tissue; S = sequence; for toepads, the year of collection is given.

Species / subspecies	Museum / Source	Catalog No.	Туре	Read Pairs	Contigs	UCEs	Avg. Locus Length	Collection Locality	
Anas platyrhynchos	GenBank	SAMN 10245527	S	-	4,215	4,195	695	-	
Anseranas semipalmata	GenBank	SAMN 12253809	S	-	4,099	4,081	663	-	
Callipepla californica achrustera	UWBM	81488	т	791.079	4 4 4 0	4 4 1 6	953	Baja California Sur. Mexico	
Callipepla californica brunnescens	LSU	B-29626	Т	1 451 824	4.342	4.318	1.003	Nevada USA	
Callipepla californica californica	LSU	B-17959	Т	1,246,781	4.395	4.369	1,007	California USA	
Callingenta californica canfieldae	ISU	B-34531	т	488 930	4 204	4 176	968	California USA	
Callipepla californica catalinensis	LACM	19812	1941	1,901,089	4,061	4,039	322	Santa Catalina Island, California, USA	
Callipepla douglasii bensoni	LSU	B-59474	Т	1,004,915	4,411	4,387	1,012	Sonora, Mexico	
Callipepla douglasii douglasii	UWBM	81316	Т	429,620	4,364	4,340	697	Sinaloa, Mexico	
Callipepla gambelii fulvipectus	UWBM	90862	Т	1,156,937	4,330	4,304	1,008	Sinaloa, Mexico	
Callipepla gambelii gambelii	LSU	B-62399	Т	1.323.662	4,424	4,397	1.024	Texas, USA	
Callipepla squamata castanogastris	LSU	B-64166	Т	1,205,069	4,432	4,408	1,057	Texas, USA	
Callipepla squamata pallida	UWBM	77730	Т	249,076	4,011	3,993	780	Arizona, USA	
Callipepla squamata squamata	KU	29970	1951	879,187	3,899	3,882	311	San Luis Potosi, Mexico	
Chauna torguata	GenBank	SAMN	S	-	4,225	4,204	711	-	
1		12253900			., .	.,			
Colinus cristatus badius	FMNH	419219	1956	5,266,442	4,229	4,209	394	Cauca, Colombia	
Colinus cristatus barnesi	AMNH	325203	1939	1.776.636	4.378	4.356	361	Barinas. Venezuela	
Colinus cristatus bogotensis	FMNH	103888	1950	2,650,689	4.307	4,290	378	Cundinamarca, Colombia	
Colinus cristatus cristatus	FMNH	414969	1941	2,931,306	4.292	4.275	336	La Guaiira. Colombia	
Colinus cristatus decoratus	WFVZ.	22004	1968	2,270,955	4.258	4.234	430	Cesar, Colombia	
Colinus cristatus dickevi	LACM	80996	1964	2 189 662	3,970	3 950	333	Guanacaste, Costa Rica	
Colinus cristatus horvathi	FMNH	400048	1920	1 783 542	4 175	4 1 5 8	291	Merida Venezuela	
Colinus cristatus horvana	ISU	B-52857	T 1920	7 580 091	4 285	4 265	482	Zacana Guatemala	
Colinus cristatus incanus	AMNH	813158	1967	2 801 436	4 161	4 1 4 5	336	Guatemala	
Colinus cristatus leucopogon	IWBM	103378	T 1.00	1 682 655	4 379	4 353	1 105	Copan Honduras	
Colinus cristatus leucopogon	EMNH	419663	1058	3 327 168	4 286	4 269	401	Huila Colombia	
Colinus cristatus levlandi	FIMNH	30517	1946	1 801 942	3,602	3 679	251	Francisco Morazan, Honduras	
Colinus cristatus littoralis	USNM	386764	1045	4 543 969	4 220	4 102	400	Magdalena Colombia	
Colinus cristatus mariae	EMNH	400209	1013	1 548 414	4 166	4 1 4 8	300	Chiriqui Danama	
Colinus cristatus marate	LACM	35024	1059	2 442 212	4,100	4,140	338	Monagas Venezuela	
Colinus cristatus nanamensis	AMNH	233102	1936	2 178 271	3 769	3 713	320	Veraguas Danama	
Colinus cristatus paraicristatus	EMNL	425507	1923	2,170,271	4 3 2 7	4 202	520	Meta Colombia	
Colinus cristatus selatori	EMNL	371182	1970	1 405 122	4,327	4,302	320	Managua Nicaragua	
Colinus cristatus sonnini	EMNL	301226	1970 T	22 221 061	4,197	4,175	001	Amana Brazil	
Colinus nigrogularis caboti	EI MINH	19476	1073	25,551,001	4 252	4 227	430	Allapa, Diazli Vucatan Mexico	
Colinus nigrogularis nigrogularis	MEN/7	21000	1973	3,317,097 4,619,473	4 2 2 2	4,227	528	Cavo Belize	
		21000	1909 T	4,010,473	4,323	4,299	1 054	Cayo, Belize	
Colinus virginianus aridus	LACM	77830	1047	1,550,192	9,393	3 306	221	Tamaulinas Mexico	
Colinus virginianus atricens	MIZ	F0740	1050	2 416 655	4 204	4 965	240	Cuerrero Mexico	
Colinus virginianus anticeps	FIMNU	20512	1950	3,410,033	4,204	2 001	349	Querrero, Mexico	
Colinus virginiunus coyoleos	FLIVINFI	271101	1955	2,470,229	4,008	4 201	2/4	Dinon del Dio, Cube	
Colinus virginianus Cubanensis	FIMINE	3/1181 D 40000	1959 T	2,800,259	4,300	4,281	340	Florido LICA	
	LSU	D-48982	1	1,321,100	4,439	4,411	1,010	Florida, USA	
Colinus virginianus goamani	AMINH	/68/98	1962	3,725,131	4,263	4,238	364	Oaxaca, Mexico	
Colinus virginianus graysoni	USNM	5/3690	1977	13,531,186	4,316	4,296	732	Nayarit, Mexico	
Colinus virginianus harrisoni	VVFVZ	16994	1965	2,530,556	4,321	4,299	380	Oaxaca, Mexico	
Colinus virginianus insignis	FLMINH	30515	1953	3,231,160	4,150	4,135	301	Chiapas, Mexico	
Colinus virginianus maculatus	FMNH	415417	1941	2,937,623	4,213	4,195	352	Tamaulipas, Mexico	
Colinus virginianus minor	WFVZ	8855	1962	4,347,086	4,298	4,281	431	Chiapas, Mexico	
Colinus virginianus nigripectus	WFVZ	7776	1959	3,201,973	4,313	4,292	413	Morelos, Mexico	
Colinus virginianus pectoralis	KU	23722	1946	2,449,134	4,270	4,252	312	Veracruz, Mexico	
Colinus virginianus ridgwayi	YPM	70184	1951	3,840,880	4,266	4,245	420	Sonora, Mexico	
Colinus virginianus salvini	LACM	80966	1971	2,304,983	4,230	4,207	389	Aviary	
Colinus virginianus taylori	LSU	B-62466	Т	1,375,447	4,352	4,329	1,011	Texas, USA	
Colinus virginianus texanus	LSU	B-54856	Т	596,233	4,453	4,427	948	Texas, USA	
Colinus virginianus thayeri	KU	45784	1964	3,094,952	4,262	4,242	417	Guerrero, Mexico	
Colinus virginianus virginianus	GenBank	SAMN	S	-	-	4,573	1,120	Louisiana, USA	
		09044008							
Coturnix japonica	FMNH	463188	Т	1,080,202	4,318	4,292	884	Captive	
Cyrtonyx montezumae mearnsi	FLMNH	45132	Т	1,450,779	4,380	4,358	1,009	Arizona, USA	
Cyrtonyx montezumae merriami	AMNH	804738	1906	1,813,431	3,558	3,545	258	Veracruz, Mexico	
Cyrtonyx montezumae montezumae	MLZ	22602	1939	3,447,980	4,177	4,162	338	Guanajuato, Mexico	
Cyrtonyx montezumae rowleyi	WFVZ	19138	1967	4,072,474	4,330	4,306	495	Oaxaca, Mexico	
Cyrtonyx montezumae sallei	AMNH	778476	1961	3,138,779	4,032	4,008	401	Jalisco, Mexico	
Cyrtonyx ocellatus	FLMNH	4315	1946	2,521,812	3,934	3,919	289	Morazan, Honduras	
Cyrtonyx ocellatus	MLZ	56792	1954	2,883,032	4,278	4,260	350	Chiapas, Mexico	
Dactylortyx thoracicus chiapensis	FLMNH	30054	1947	2,970,682	3,864	3,853	315	Chiapas, Mexico	
Dactylortyx thoracicus conoveri	FMNH	412668	1937	3,021,859	3,974	3,958	371	Olancho, Honduras	
Dactylortyx thoracicus devius	WFVZ	4577	1959	3,177,198	3,995	3,969	540	Jalisco, Mexico	
Dactylortyx thoracicus dolichonyx	MLZ	36952	1943	2,611,157	4,110	4,091	367	Chiapas, Mexico	
-								(continued on next page)	
								(commune on next page)	

Table 1 (continued)

tuble I (continueu)									
Species / subspecies	Museum / Source	Catalog No.	Туре	Read Pairs	Contigs	UCEs	Avg. Locus Length	Collection Locality	
Dactylortyx thoracicus fuscus	FMNH	412669	1937	3.021.895	4.167	4.150	340	Tegucigalpa, Honduras	
Dactylortyx thoracicus melodus	MLZ	45995	1947	2,388,679	4,306	4,290	405	Guerrero, Mexico	
Dactylortyx thoracicus paynteri	YPM	13023	1951	3,965,704	3,964	3,941	467	Quintana Roo, Mexico	
Dactylortyx thoracicus pettingilli	MLZ	38766	1943	4,509,113	4,065	4,046	363	San Luis Potosi, Mexico	
Dactylortyx thoracicus sharpei	FMNH	215965	1951	2,687,978	3,961	3,932	434	Yucatan, Mexico	
Dendrortyx barbatus	UNAM	24556	Т	974,224	4,321	4,297	952	Mexico	
Dendrortyx leucophrys hypospodius	WFVZ	53075	1996	5,398,898	3,370	3,345	384	San Jose, Costa Rica	
Dendrortyx leucophrys leucophrys	MLZ	26402	1934	557.857	3,166	3.155	373	Francisco Morazan, Honduras	
Dendrortvx macroura diversus	WFVZ	4576	1959	5,195,088	3,989	3.969	536	Jalisco, Mexico	
Dendrortyx macroura griseipectus	MLZ	30358	1941	420.216	3.067	3.054	346	Mexico, Mexico	
Dendrortvx macroura inesperatus	MLZ	46105	1947	500.914	3.158	3.147	404	Guerrero, Mexico	
Dendrortvx macroura macroura	MLZ	46880	1947	767.043	3,470	3.458	424	Puebla, Mexico	
Dendrortvx macroura oaxacae	MLZ	65301	1965	472,917	2,932	2.916	356	Oaxaca, Mexico	
Gallus gallus	GenBank	SAMN	S	_	4.314	4.296	698	_	
		00000795			.,	.,			
Odontophorus atrifrons atrifrons	FMNH	405057	1927	3 013 730	4.154	4.139	323	Magdalena, Colombia	
Odontophorus atrifrons navai	USNM	372441	1942	1 692 609	4 004	3 989	277	La Guaiira, Colombia	
Odontophorus atrifrons variegatus	LACM	40827	1962	3 998 487	4 222	4 202	395	Santander, Colombia	
Odontophorus balliviani	KU	21232	T 1902	1 032 001	4 469	4 442	962	Puno Peru	
Odontophorus balliviani	VDM	38340	1056	2 516 854	4 227	4 206	450	Cochabamba Bolivia	
Odontophorus canuaira	VDM	66400	1061	5 728 370	4 229	4,200	496	Misiones Argentina	
Odontophorus capueira	EMINI	205742	1901 T	5,720,579	4,250	4,210	084	Progil	
Odontophorus capueira	FIVINE	395742	1	0,929,110	4,303	4,337	984	Brazil	
Odoniophorus columbianus	FININE	408500	1950	2,601,073	3,480	3,475	254	Carabobo, venezuela	
Odontophorus columbianus	USINIM	483540	1955	543,405	3,299	3,242	2/3	Venezuela	
Odontophorus dialeucos	USINIM	484271	1964	4,104,166	4,312	4,293	435	Panama	
Odontophorus dialeucos	USNM	484272	1964	4,384,715	4,291	4,270	408	Panama	
Odontophorus erythrops erythrops	LSU	B-7868	T	1,350,803	4,435	4,412	1,040	El Oro, Ecuador	
Odontophorus erythrops parambae	LSU	B-1412	T	1,230,732	4,440	4,416	997	Darien, Panama	
Odontophorus gujanensis buckleyi	ANSP	186791	Т	931,813	4,474	4,459	441	Sucumbios, Ecuador	
Odontophorus gujanensis castigatus	FMNH	408884	1931	2,395,695	3,255	3,217	276	Puntarenas, Costa Rica	
Odontophorus gujanensis gujanensis	LSU	B-35494	Т	694,040	4,434	4,413	862	Mato Grosso, Brazil	
Odontophorus gujanensis marmoratus	LACM	40594	1961	4,252,551	4,205	4,185	432	Magdalena, Colombia	
Odontophorus gujanensis medius	AMNH	DOT-14437	Т	764,410	4,466	4,447	995	Amazonas, Brazil	
Odontophorus gujanensis pachyrhynchus	LSU	B-11238	Т	1,006,320	4,437	4,417	990	Ucayali, Peru	
Odontophorus gujanensis rufogularis	LSU	B-40408	Т	576,970	4,283	4,260	820	Loreto, Peru	
Odontophorus gujanensis simonsi	LSU	B-15196	Т	707,114	3,272	3,258	813	Santa Cruz, Bolivia	
Odontophorus guttatus	FLMNH	4312	1949	2,223,632	3,983	3,973	271	Oaxaca, Mexico	
Odontophorus hyperythrus	FMNH	418804	1951	2,520,157	4,225	4,206	336	Antioquia, Colombia	
Odontophorus hyperythrus	YPM	54500	1957	3,895,349	4,291	4,273	423	Cauca, Colombia	
Odontophorus leucolaemus	USNM	652312	Т	998,587	4,484	4,464	981	Chiriqui, Panama	
Odontophorus melanonotus	FMNH	414494	1940	2,685,292	4,249	4,234	356	Pinchincha, Ecuador	
Odontophorus melanonotus	FMNH	419577	1957	3,128,562	4,184	4,170	375	Narino, Colombia	
Odontophorus melanotis melanotis	FMNH	412694	1966	1,864,623	4,254	4,239	332	Bocas del Toro, Panama	
Odontophorus melanotis verecundus	LSU	31069	1963	945,462	4,340	4,314	314	Olancho, Honduras	
Odontophorus speciosus loricatus	FMNH	433045	Т	879,664	4,448	4,418	948	Cuzco, Peru	
Odontophorus speciosus	WFVZ	42447	1987	3,230,882	3,927	3,907	564	Morona Santiago, Ecuador	
Odontonhomus speciosus speciosus	ISII	B 42621	т	002 333	3 734	3 715	877	Son Mortin Deru	
Odontophorus speciosus speciosus	ISU	B 021/	т	709 643	3,734	3 1 2 2	807	Bando Bolivia	
Odontophorus stellatus	LSU	B 27503	т	080 421	3,149	3,133	801	Loreto Deru	
Odontophorus stranhium	AMNH	176521	1020	2 304 053	4 178	4 161	320	Bogota Colombia	
Odontophorus strophium	AMNU	191701	1022	1 846 844	3 025	3 017	320 278	Cundinamarca Colombia	
Outriophorus su ophium	LCU	D E06E4	1923 T	1,040,044	2,933	2 026	270	Raia California, Moviao	
Oreorityx pictus conjunis	LOU	66024	T	1,130,760	3,944	3,920	773	Mashington USA	
Oreorityx pictus pictus	UWDN	00924	T	737,613	4,431	4,429	950	California USA	
Oreortyx pictus piunijer		00733 D 6401	T	024,244 722,202	4,403	4,440	1,010	California, USA	
Oreoriyx pictus russeuu	LSU	D-0421	1	732,203	3,303	3,280	83/ 656	California, USA	
Oxyura jamaicensis	Genbalik	04270837	3	-	4,274	4,250	030	-	
Philortyx fasciatus	UNAM	27429	Т	793,648	4,495	4,470	986	Mexico	
Ptilopachus nahani	LACM	67857	1967	3,917,333	4,206	4,188	398	Western Region, Uganda	
Ptilopachus nahani	LACM	75763	1970	5,225,360	4,164	4,141	472	Western Region, Uganda	
Ptilopachus petrosus brehmi	LACM	41663	1960	7,239,213	3,982	3,960	390	Moyen-Chari, Chad	
Ptilopachus petrosus florentiae	LACM	80630	1965	6,430,092	4,276	4,256	438	Rift Valley, Kenya	
Ptilopachus petrosus major	FMNH	68965	1927	5,120,149	3,872	3,847	323	Gojjam, Ethiopia	
Rhynchortyx cinctus australis	LSU	B-29992	Т	546,267	2,723	2,707	792	Esmereldas, Ecuador	
Rhynchortyx cinctus cinctus	LSU	B-1389	Т	1,145,554	3,907	3,890	834	Darien, Panama	

suspected that strongly conflicting results were caused by the "toepad effect" where short, low-quality UCE contigs assembled from toepad DNA extracts are sometimes resolved as sister to remaining taxa within a clade (Moyle et al., 2016; Oliveros et al., 2019; Salter et al., 2020),.

Because sequence variability and phylogenetic informativeness increase with distance from the core conserved UCE region (Faircloth et al., 2012a) and many toepad samples lack these variable flanking regions, we suspect that toepad samples in other portions of the tree may be responsible for the toepad effect by pulling problematic taxa towards them due to the degree of sequence similarity shared between the relatively short, core UCE regions that are commonly enriched from toepads. To investigate these effects, we subsampled the concatenated dataset output by trimAl to produce two subclades, which we rooted on the most closely related taxa that were stable across the complete ML and SVDquartets analyses: (1) an Odontophorus group, rooted on O. balliviani and O. atrifrons, and (2) a Colinus group, which we rooted on Callipepla sp. To subsample the trimAl data matrix, we used GNU Grep with regular expressions, and we inferred concatenated and coalescentbased trees using raxml-ng and SVDquartets with parameters that were identical to those applied to the entire concatenated matrix. In both trees, we collapsed nodes with < 70% bootstrap support (Hillis and Bull, 1993). To assess whether this approach reduced discordance, we used DendroPy v4.5.2 (Sukumaran and Holder, 2010) to calculate unweighted Robinson-Foulds (RF) distances between the Odontophorus and Colinus subclades from the ML and SVDquartets trees inferred using the full alignment as well as the ML and SVDquartets trees from the subset alignments.

3. Results:

3.1. Recovery of UCEs

After demultiplexing and trimming the raw reads, we obtained an average of 1.8 million read pairs (range 249,076–23,331,061) for tissue samples and 3 million read pairs for toepad samples (range 420,216–13,531,186) (Table 1). After downsampling sequence files, we assembled an average of 4,212 (4,126–4,368 95 CI) contigs for tissue samples and 4,043 (3,971–4,115 95 CI) contigs for toepad samples. From the assembled contigs, we identified an average of 4,087 UCE loci, which was consistent across sample types (Table 1); however, the average contig length of the loci differed between sample types: 912 bp (870–954 bp 95 CI) for tissues; 378 bp (360–396 bp 95 CI) for toepads; and 757 bp (614–900 bp 95 CI) for contigs extracted from genome sequences (Table 2). We enriched a total of 3,884 UCE loci shared by at least 98 ingroup and outgroup taxa, which we concatenated into a 75% complete data matrix that comprised 2,005,421 total characters and included 274,886 parsimony informative sites (13.7%).

3.2. Concatenated UCE phylogeny

The ML tree we inferred from 3,884 concatenated UCE loci was well resolved and strongly supported for most generic and species-level relationships (Fig. 3A; see Supplementary Fig. S1 for branch lengths). Consistent with previous molecular phylogenies (Cohen et al., 2012; Crowe et al., 2006; Hosner et al., 2015), we resolved *Ptilopachus* as sister to all New World species, and, within the New World clade, we resolved the monotypic genus *Rhynchortyx* as sister to the *Odontophorus* and *Dendrortyx* groups.

Within the Odontophorus group, we resolved the branching order as *Cyrtonyx*, *Dactylortyx*, and *Odontophorus*, and within Odontophorus, we resolved the northernmost species, *O. guttatus*, as sister to two clades: one comprising five predominantly lowland tropical forest species (*O. stellatus*, *O. capueira*, *O. erythrops*, *O. melanotis*, and *O. gujanensis*) and one comprising nine montane-associated species (*O. balliviani*, *O. atrifrons*, *O. leucolaemus*, *O. dialeucos*, *O. melanonotus*, *O. hyperythrus*, *O. speciosus*, *O. columbianus*, and *O. strophium*), although support for the

branch uniting these two clades was low. We also observed two instances of non-monophyletic species that we detected as a result of subspecies-level sampling: *Cyrtonyx ocellatus* was nested within *C. montezumae*, and *Odontophorus melanotis* was nested within *O. erythrops* (Fig. 3A, Supplementary Fig. S1).

Within the *Dendrortyx* group, we resolved *Oreortyx*, *Dendrortyx*, *Philortyx*, and *Callipepla* + *Colinus* as successive sister groups, and species-level relationships were consistent with previous studies of each genus (Tsai et al., 2019a; Williford et al., 2016; Zink and Blackwell, 1998).

At the subspecies-level, resolution was more variable across the tree, although results were generally consistent with the broad biogeographic patterns of each species' distribution (Supplementary Fig. S1). For example, within Cyrtonyx, the ML analysis resolved two well-supported clades: one comprising the three subspecies of C. montezumae from the southwestern U.S. and northern Mexico (C. m. mearnsi, C. m. merriaimi, C. m. montezumae) and a second clade comprising C. ocellatus of southern Mexico and Central America along with the two Oaxacan subspecies of C. montezumae (C. m. sallei, C. m. rowleyi), which are more similar in plumage to C. ocellatus and are sometimes considered a separate species named C. sallei (Carroll, 2019). Similarly, we recovered a south-north grade among the two subspecies of O. erythrops in Ecuador and Colombia and the two subspecies of O. melanotis in Central America, consistent with previous treatments of all four taxa as subspecies of O. erythrops (Johnsgard, 1988). Within Colinus cristatus, the ML analysis strongly supported two clades: one comprising 13 subspecies of eastern Panama and northern South America and a sister clade comprising the six Central American subspecies of the C. [cristatus] leucopogon group (Fig. 4E, Supplementary Fig. S1), which are sometimes treated as a separate species (Carroll, 2019; Johnsgard, 1988). We observed a similar pattern within C. virginianus, the most polytypic odontophorid species: a well-supported split between eight subspecies north of Mexico's Transvolcanic belt and eleven subspecies south of this barrier, although we were generally unable to resolve phylogenetic relationships among subspecies within either of these clades.

3.3. Coalescent UCE phylogeny

The tree we inferred with SVDquartets was well resolved, strongly supported, and largely congruent with the topology we reconstructed with the ML analysis, particularly at the species level (Fig. 3B; see Supplementary Fig. S2 for the uncollapsed topology). In particular, the SVDquartets tree improved support for the sister relationship between the two major Odontophorus clades (lowland tropical forest + montaneassociated species). At the species level, only two areas of the coalescent tree disagreed with the ML topology: among the seven species in the montane-associated Odontophorus clade and within Colinus. Within the montane Odontophorus clade, the SVDquartets analysis resolved O. strophium + O. columbianus as sister to remaining lineages in the clade and suggested a sister relationship between O. dialeucos and O. speciosus, although this relationship was poorly supported. In contrast, the ML analysis resolved the Central American species O. leucolaemus and O. dialeucos as successive sister lineages to the remaining sister clades of trans-Andean species (O. melanononotus + O. hyperythrus) and cis-Andean species (O. speciosus, O. strophium + O. columbianus). Within Colinus, the major difference was that the SVDquartets analysis resolved C. virginianus aridus of northeastern Mexico as sister to all other taxa within Colinus.

Table 2

Summary statistics of sequencing output by sample type. Values represent mean \pm 95% confidence interval. Toepads were collected between 1906 and 1996.

Sample type	No. of samples	Avg. clean read pairs	Avg. contigs	Avg. UCE loci	Avg. contig length (bp)	Avg. collection year
Tissues	42	$\begin{array}{c} 1,802,937 \pm 1,111,737 \\ 3,099,816 \pm 385,186 \\ - \end{array}$	$4,212 \pm 127$	$4,190 \pm 127$	912 ± 42	-
Toepads	83		$4,043 \pm 336$	$4,023 \pm 73$	378 ± 18	1954 ± 3
Sequences	6		$4,225 \pm 71$	$4,267 \pm 133$	757 ± 143	-



Fig. 3. Cladogram of species-level relationships of 125 odontophorid taxa inferred with **(A)** maximum likelihood (ML) analysis and **(B)** SVDquartets analysis of 3,884 nuclear ultraconserved element loci. Gray triangles depict multiple individuals of the same monotypic species; green triangles depict collapsed subspecies relationships. Numbers next to terminal tips indicate the number taxa in collapsed group. Rounded boxes bracketing species names correspond to species groups in Fig. 1. Black circles indicate nodes with >95% bootstrap support; gray circles = 70-94% bootstrap support; branches with <70% bootstrap have been collapsed. Red branches indicate conflicting relationships; yellow highlighting and yellow arrow indicate placement of C. v. aridus as sister to remaining Colinus in the SVDquartets topology. For a subspecies-level comparison of these trees, see Supplementary Fig. S3. For branch lengths and the uncollapsed ML tree, see Supplementary Fig. S1; for the uncollapsed SVDquartets tree, see Supplementary Fig. S2.

We observed more discordance between the ML and SVDquartets topologies at the subspecies-level, although most of this discordance existed in parts of either tree having low support (Supplementary Fig. S3). In general, the SVDquartets analysis was less precise, and the lower support values collapsed to a number of polytomies, as seen in *Cyrtonyx, Callipepla californica*, and *Dendrortyx macroura* (Supplementary Fig. S3). Despite these areas of low support, the ML and SVDquartets topologies largely agreed in the arrangement of subspecies groups within highly polytypic species, such as *C. cristatus* and *C. virginianus* (Fig. 4).

3.4. Subset concatenated and coalescent phylogenies

For the two clades in which we observed species-level discordance between the ML and SVDquartets trees inferred from the complete dataset, these conflicts were largely resolved when we inferred trees using only those subsets of taxa (Fig. 4). In the *Odontophorus* group, the ML and SVDquartets trees inferred using subclade data both resolved a branching order consistent with the ML topology inferred using the complete dataset, placing the Central American species *O. leucolaemus* and *O. dialeucos* as successive sister lineages to the clade comprising three South American groups, including *O. strophium* + *O. columbianus* (Fig. 4C-D). Although the subset SVDquartets tree could not resolve the polytomy between *O. hyperythrus* + *O. melanonotus, O. speciosus,* and *O. strophium* + *O. columbianus,* this topology was consistent with both ML trees and resolved the major discordance observed in the complete SVDquartets tree, which initially suggested *O. strophium* + *O. columbianus* were sister to other taxa within this group (Fig. 3B, Fig. 4B; RF distance between ML and SVDquartets subclades estimated from the full alignment = 6; RF distance between the ML and SVDquartets trees for subset alignment = 1).

Within the *Colinus* clade, there were two major differences between the ML and SVDquartets trees inferred with the complete dataset: (1) the placement of *C. cristatus panamensis* in a polytomy in the SVDquartets tree; and (2) the placement of *C. virginianus aridus* sister to all remaining *Colinus* in the SVDquartets trees (Fig. 3B, Fig. 4F). Both the ML and SVDquartets trees inferred with subclade data resolved the *C. [cristatus] leucopogon* clade as sister to the two South American clades of *C. cristatus*, and placed *C. c. panamensis* within one of these South American clades, consistent with the complete ML tree (Fig. 4G-H). Within *C. virginianus*, the ML subset tree inferred subspecies relationships consistent with the complete ML tree, including sister clades of subspecies from southern Mexico and subspecies from northern Mexico + USA, and the placement of *C. v. aridus* within the northern clade of

Complete phylogenies

Subset phylogenies



Fig. 4. Cladogram of discordant subspecies-level relationships inferred with maximum likelihood (ML) analysis and SVDquartets analysis of 3,884 nuclear ultraconserved element loci within **(A-D)** Odontophorus and **(E-H)** Colinus. Left panels **(A, B, E, F)** zoom in on the relationships inferred for these clades in analyses of the complete 131 taxon dataset (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. S3); right panels **(C, D, G, H)** show relationships inferred using only the subset of taxa depicted. Asterisks denote data collected from historical museum specimens. Nodes with > 95% bootstrap support are unlabeled; gray circles = 70–94% bootstrap support; nodes with < 70% bootstrap support have been collapsed to polytomies. Red branches indicate conflicting species relationships; blue branches indicate conflicting subspecies relationships. Note position change of C. virginianus aridus (highlighted in yellow) between complete and subset phylogenies. For branch lengths and the uncollapsed ML tree of all taxa, see Supplementary Fig. S1; for the uncollapsed SVDquartets tree of all taxa, see Supplementary Fig. S2.

subspecies (Fig. 4G). The subset SVDquartets tree also resolved northern and southern clades of subspecies, consistent with both ML trees, although it placed *C. v. aridus* as sister to the clade of subspecies from southern Mexico (Fig. 3H). Although this placement differs from the ML topologies, the subset analysis resolved the implausible placement of *C. v. aridus* in the complete SVDquartets tree and the major discordance between the SVDquartets and ML trees inferred with the complete dataset (Fig. 4, Fig. 3E-F; RF distance between ML and SVDquartets subclades estimated from the full alignment = 26; RF distance between the ML and SVDquartets tree for subset alignments = 18).

4. Discussion

4.1. High-level odontophorid phylogeny is stable across studies, methods, and data types

Across all analyses, we resolved topologies that are consistent with previous studies of major odontophorid clades (Cohen et al., 2012; Crowe et al., 2006; Hosner et al., 2015). Our results from thousands of

nuclear loci support the sister relationship between the African genus *Ptilopachus* and the remaining New World odontophorids (Cohen et al., 2012; Crowe et al., 2006; Hosner et al., 2015). Similarly, within the New World clade, we resolved the monotypic genus *Rhynchortyx* as sister to the *Odontophorus* group and the *Dendrortyx* group, a pattern that is generally consistent with previous phylogenetic hypotheses based on morphology and ecology (Johnsgard, 1973). We included representatives of all currently recognized odontophorid species and most analyses inferred consistent, highly-supported relationships at the species level with two notable differences between our UCE topologies, which we discuss below.

Due to a lack of contemporary genetic material, previous molecular phylogenies of odontophorids included just eight of the 15 described species in *Odontophorus*, the most species-rich genus in the family, with different analyses inferring different topologies for five of the sampled species (Hosner et al., 2015). By including historical specimens, we were able to sample 36 individuals representing all 15 species and 19 of 20 described subspecies (28 of 29 taxa in total) of *Odontophorus* (Dickinson and Remsen, 2013), and our results across all analyses suggest there are three main lineages within the genus: (1) the northernmost species, *O. guttatus*; (2) a clade of five predominantly lowland tropical forest species; and (3) a clade of nine montane-associated species. These results are consistent with a coalescent topology inferred from a combined mito-nuclear dataset for eight species (Hosner et al., 2015), and with the biogeographic hypothesis that *Odontophorus* had a Central American ancestor (ca. 5.8 Ma) that colonized South America multiple times and diversified rapidly following closure of the Isthmus of Panama (Hosner et al., 2015).

Because we sampled all species, our results refute previous hypotheses that grouped Odontophorus species by plumage (Johnsgard, 1988), and they highlight the recurrence of different plumage elements in multiple, presumably independent, radiations - suggesting a shared genomic framework underlying the "mix-and-match" appearance of the 29 taxa in this genus. Johnsgard (1988) recognized three species groups within Odontophorus based on shared plumage themes: dark-backed species with rufous fronts (O. hyperythrus, O. melanonotus, O. speciosus, and O. erythrops/melanotis); species with prominent crests and chestnut plumage lacking a white throat (O. capueira, O. stellatus, O. gujanensis, and O. balliviani); and dark-backed species with striking black-and-white throats and facial patterns (O. atrifrons, O. leucolaemus, O. dialeucos, O. strophium, and O. columbianus). Our results support some of these relationships, but our results also highlight that similar plumage patterns exist between non-sister lowland and montane species, as well as identifying several previously overlooked plumage similarities between species that our results suggest are closely-related. For example, we resolved a sister relationship between two highly disjunct species, O. atrifrons and O. balliviani. Odontophorus atrifrons is extremely range restricted, inhabiting the subtropical montane forests of northern Colombia and northwestern Venezuela, whereas O. balliviani is more broadly distributed throughout montane subtropical forests in southeastern Peru and northern Bolivia (Johnsgard, 1988). Although Johnsgard characterized these species as belonging to two distinct plumage groups, they share a rufous crown and distinctive white, diamondshaped streaking across the chest. Similar disjunct distributions are observed within other Andean bird species, such as Golden Grosbeaks (Pheucticus chrysogaster) (Brewer, 2020) and Red-rumped Bush-Tyrants (Cnemarchus erythropygius) (Schulenberg and Kirwan, 2020), and may reflect a history of extinction in the intermediate populations.

4.2. Discordant UCE topologies are artifacts of low-quality historical samples

Our dataset is composed of 66% historical samples (Table 1), and we observed many of the sample quality issues noted in previous studies incorporating this type of material (Moyle et al., 2016; Oliveros et al., 2019; Salter et al., 2020; Swanson et al., 2019). Fortunately, we only recovered species-level topological conflicts in two clades: *Odontophorus* and *Colinus*.

Within both Odontophorus and Colinus, we observed a previously noted pattern of discordance that we refer to as the "toepad effect": in SVDquartets analyses, low-quality samples often aggregate as sister to all other members of the clade in which concatenated analyses place them (Moyle et al., 2016; Oliveros et al., 2019; Salter et al., 2020). By all metrics, the four taxa (represented by six historical samples) that showed this pattern in our analyses (O. strophium, O. columbianus, C. virginianus aridus, and C. cristatus panamensis) were among the lowest quality historical samples in our dataset: all six samples fell below the 95% confidence interval for cleaned read pairs, number of UCEs, and average contig length (Table 1, Table 2). Three of these samples were collected during the early 1920 s, placing them among the oldest samples we sequenced (median collection year 1954), and although the remaining three samples were collected between 1947 and 1952, specimen preparation and long-term storage conditions can have significant impacts on DNA degradation and quality in addition to sample age (Hall et al., 1997; McCormack et al., 2017; Wandeler et al., 2007).

We further examined the causes of this discordance by analyzing subsets of these data to assess whether spurious relationships could be resolved by reducing the noise to signal ratio introduced with the inclusion of distantly related taxa. The results of our subset-based phylogenies provide compelling evidence that in our dataset, these "toepad effects" are artifacts of sample quality, rather than biological signal. In *Odontophorus*, the concatenated and coalescent subclade trees resolved the discordance we observed among the topologies inferred with the complete dataset, and the subclade topologies ultimately supported the relationships we observed in the complete ML tree (Fig. 4A-D). Although we observed differences in bootstrap support for relationships within *Odontophorus*, such as the polytomy between *O. hyperythrus* + *O. melanonotus*, *O. speciosus*, and *O. strophium* + *O. columbianus* in the subset SVDquartets tree, these differences do not change the relationships or their phylogeographic interpretation within this group (Fig. 4C-D).

Within Colinus, the subset topologies resolved the polytomy of C. cristatus panamensis, the Central American C. [cristatus] leucopogon clade, and the South American C. cristatus clade that we observed in the complete SVDquartets tree, and inferred a placement of C. cristatus panamensis consistent with the complete ML tree (Fig. 4E-H). Although the discordant placement of most low-quality samples in our dataset was ameliorated by the subset analyses, some combination of missing loci, exceptionally short contigs, and perhaps other DNA damage proved particularly recalcitrant for C. virginianus aridus. Though much improved from the complete SVDquartets tree, the placement of C. v. aridus in the subclade SVDquartets tree differs from both ML trees (Fig. 4E-H). Based on the original description of C. v. aridus as an intermediate form between C. v. texanus and C. v. maculatus and its distribution between these two subspecies (Aldrich, 1942), the topology inferred in the ML trees is consistent with our expectations of the relationships among these subspecies; however, we were unable to completely resolve the placement of this sample due to poor data quality.

Our results also underscore the importance of sampling multiple historical specimens within each taxon, when possible. For example, because we resolve the sister relationship of *O. strophium* + *O. columbianus* across all analyses, we are confident that this relationship is not a "toepad effect", but likely reflects biological signal and confirms previous hypotheses of a close relationship between these species based on plumage (Johnsgard, 1988). Whereas previous examples of the "toepad effect" have been noted with a single sample per taxon, our results suggest that including multiple toepad samples per taxon can help distinguish between the effects of low-quality samples (as in *C. v. aridus*) and true phylogenetic signal. Considering our results, we advocate for an integrative approach to examining the causes of topological discordance as large datasets encompassing samples of heterogeneous quality become commonplace in phylogenomics.

4.3. Odontophorid taxonomy is largely congruent with genetic data

The impressive phenotypic diversity among odontophorids, especially in male plumage, has contributed to historical fluctuations in odontophorid taxonomy, especially at the subspecies-level (Fig. 2). However, both our ML and coalescent phylogenies using UCEs demonstrate that current taxonomy is largely consistent with the genetic relationships within and among most species of odontophorids (Fig. 3), although we did find two examples of species that were not monophyletic. All analyses (Supplementary Fig. S3) failed to recover Cyrtonyx montezumae and C. ocellatus as reciprocally monophyletic, instead suggesting these taxa form a grade from north to south. In our concatenated UCE ML tree, the three northernmost C. montezumae subspecies form one clade, sister to a clade of C. ocellatus and the two Oaxacan subspecies, C. m. rowleyi and C. m. sallei (Supplementary Fig. S1). Although the SVDquartets analysis recovered a different topology (Supplementary Fig. S2), it still did not support the reciprocal monophyly of C. montezumae and C. ocellatus, suggesting that population-level sampling and further investigation of plumage, morphology, and vocal data

are needed to assess species boundaries within this genus. Based on the available evidence, our results support merging *C. montezumae* and *C. ocellatus* into *C. montezumae* (Vigors, 1830). Similarly, neither our ML or SVDquartets analyses resolved *Odontophorus melanotis* and *O. erythrops* as reciprocally monophyletic (Supplementary Material S3), suggesting these taxa constitute a single species (*O. erythrops*, Gould, 1859), consistent with previous classifications (Johnsgard, 1988). Both of these examples highlight the importance of complete taxon sampling for accurate systematic analysis of polytypic species.

In birds, subspecies designations have traditionally been used to describe diagnosable geographic differences among populations in some morphological or behavioral trait, such as plumage color or song (Mayr, 1982). These subspecies may come into contact in some part(s) of their range, and there is the presumption that gene flow would occur wherever populations come into contact. If gene flow is occurring, this begs the question of how well subspecies relationships can be resolved in a strictly bifurcating phylogenetic framework (reviewed in Phillimore and Owens, 2006), especially with highly conserved genetic markers such as UCEs (Harvey et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2014). Although we could not resolve all subspecies relationships, we were pleasantly surprised by the concordance of well-resolved geographic structure within most polytypic species across all analyses. For example, although the relationships among the eight O. gujanensis subspecies differed slightly between the ML and SVDquartets trees (Supplementary Fig. S3), all analyses resolved three groups consistent with the major geologic provinces of the region (Silva et al., 2019): a Central American / northeastern Colombian group (O. g. castigatus and O. g. marmoratus); a group from west of the Negro and Madeira rivers in the Amazonian foreland basins (O. g. buckleyi, O. g. medius, O. g. rufogularis, and O. g. pachyrhynchus); and a group from east of the Negro and Madeira rivers in the Guiana and Brazilian shields (O. g. gujanensis and O. g. simonsi) (Supplementary Fig. S3). We observed a similar pattern in Dactylortyx thoracicus, for which we sampled nine of the eleven mostly allopatric subspecies, and our analyses consistently resolved the three taxa found north of the Isthmus of Tehuantepec (D. t. devius, D. t. melodus, and D. t. pettingilli) as sister to a group comprising three pairs of geographically adjacent sister taxa found south of the Is thmus: D. t. chiapensis + D. t. dolichonyx from Chiapas; D. t. sharpei + D. t. paynteri from the Yucatan peninsula; and D. t. fuscus + D. t. conoveri from Honduras (Supplementary Fig. S3). In contrast to these patterns, our results also highlighted several polytypic species with little discernible structure across analyses, such as among the five subspecies of Oreortyx pictus or Callipepla california, suggesting a review of subspecies designations in these species is warranted. With the benefit of near-comprehensive taxonomic sampling and broader sampling of the genome, our results also provide clearer resolution of the evolutionary units within odontophorids, and they highlight the taxonomic imbalance between groups that have been split at the species-level (e.g., Odontophorus) versus those that have been split at the subspecies-level (e.g., Colinus).

The power of thousands of genome-wide loci to resolve geographic structure among shallow evolutionary lineages is exemplified in our results for *Colinus*. The three species of bobwhites in the genus *Colinus* epitomize many of the extremes and challenges of odontophorid diversity and taxonomy: together, these three species comprise 44 subspecies described by differences in male plumage, half of which belong to *C. virginianus* (Dickinson and Remsen, 2013). The evolutionary relationships among subspecies within *Colinus* remain largely unclear (Ellsworth et al., 1989; Eo et al., 2009; Evans et al., 2009; Williford et al., 2016, 2014), potentially due to the recent origin of this genus (~5 MA; Hosner et al., 2015; Williford et al., 2016) and the limited power of the few genetic markers surveyed in prior studies. In contrast to these previous studies, we found strong evidence of geographic population structure within all three species.

Across all analyses, our results suggest the nineteen subspecies of *C. cristatus* compose three well-supported clades, consistent with previous analyses of mitochondrial data (Williford et al., 2016): (1) the six-

subspecies leucopogon group from Central America; (2) the ninesubspecies cristatus group ranging from eastern Panama to the west slope of the Eastern Cordillera and the Caribbean slope of Colombia and Venezuela; and (3) the four-subspecies sonnini group ranging from the east slope of the Eastern Cordillera to the Guiana Shield. In contrast to a previous mitochondrial analysis, which resolved the sonnini group as sister to *leucopogon* + *cristatus* (Williford et al., 2016), both our complete and subclade ML and SVDquartet analyses suggest the leucopogon group is sister to the remaining subspecies that comprise the *sonnini* + *cristatus* group (Fig. 4E-H). Species limits within Colinus have long been debated (see Johnsgard, 1988), and although these data are insufficient to make taxonomic recommendations for this complex group, we note that dating analyses estimate the deepest divergences within C. cristatus are consistent with the timing of divergence between C. nigrogularis and C. virginianus (~2.5 MYA; Williford et al., 2016), suggesting species limits have been applied inconsistently across the genus.

Our results also shed new light on the contentious relationships within C. virginianus, the most polytypic odontophorid. Historically, 24 subspecies of C. virginianus have been described by male plumage (Carroll, 2019), of which 22 are currently recognized (Dickinson and Remsen, 2013), and we collected genomic sequence data from 19 of them. We did not include samples of C. v. marilandicus and C. v. mexicanus, because these subspecies are often synonymized within C. v. virginianus, and we did not include samples of C. v. nelsoni, which is often synonymized within C. v. insignis (Carroll, 2019). Due to its significance as a game bird in the U.S. and Mexico, C. virginianus is one of the most intensively studied bird species (Guthery, 1997), yet previous efforts to understand the relationships among subspecies have yielded equivocal results (Ellsworth et al., 1989; Eo et al., 2009; Evans et al., 2009; Williford et al., 2016, 2014), often finding little evidence of genetic structure. Two possible explanations for findings of panmixia within C. virginianus are its recent Pleistocene origin (~1.5 MYA; Hosner et al., 2015; Williford et al., 2016) and the long history of human-mediated translocations within this species (Whitt et al., 2017). Previous studies have also relied on few genetic markers, which may be insufficiently powerful to resolve shallow genetic structure (Zarza et al., 2016). This is the first study to use thousands of nuclear loci to assess the relationships within C. virginianus, and despite shallow divergences, we recover consistent, well-supported geographic structure across all analyses (Fig. 4E-H, Supplementary Fig. S3).

Both our ML and SVDquartets trees using UCEs recover the deepest divergence within C. virginianus to be along Mexico's Transvolcanic Belt, a known biogeographic barrier for birds and other terrestrial taxa (Marshall and Liebherr, 2000; Morrone, 2010), with eight subspecies forming a northern clade and eleven subspecies forming a southern clade. In contrast to the bold white facial patterning typical of C. virginianus males in the northern part of their range, seven subspecies of C. virginianus males have nearly to completely black heads and throats, including six subspecies from Oaxaca and Chiapas (atriceps, coyoleos, harrisoni, insignis, nelsoni, and salvini) and the isolated Sonoran desert subspecies C. v. ridgwayi, prompting speculation that all blackthroated subspecies are closely related (Aldrich, 1946). However, our results suggest that C. v. ridgwayi is most closely related to a group of subspecies from Texas and northern Mexico (Fig. 4E-H, Supplementary Fig. S3), consistent with previous findings of shared mitochondrial haplotypes among these populations (Williford et al., 2016). Although our analyses could not resolve all relationships within the southern clade, they do confirm that black- and white-throated subspecies do not form reciprocally monophyletic clades (Fig. 4E-H, Supplementary Fig. S3), suggesting that black throat color may have been gained or lost multiple times within C. virginianus. Although our results provide greater resolution than previous studies of C. virginianus, the lack of resolution among subspecies within clades defined by major geographic boundaries suggests that the validity of many subspecies warrants further investigation.

Our results across Colinus demonstrate both the power and

limitations of phylogenomics for resolving subspecies relationships. By sampling thousands of genome-wide loci from just a single individual per subspecies, we found strong evidence of geographic structure and differentiation among groups of subspecies where previous studies sampling fewer markers have not, highlighting the need for greater sampling of the genome at a finer population scale to disentangle the complex evolutionary history of this genus and inform possible taxonomic revisions.

5. Conclusions

We demonstrate the power of UCE phylogenomics to resolve relationships ranging from family-level to below species-level using comprehensive taxonomic sampling of historical museum specimens. While placements of most historical samples were concordant between concatenated and coalescent analyses, we showed that discordant topologies were artifacts of poor sample quality and could be largely resolved by inferring trees using subsets of only those taxa in discordant clades. Within odontophorids, our results affirm previous findings at the genus-level and provide new resolution of species-level relationships, which are largely concordant with current taxonomy. At the subspecieslevel, we demonstrate that UCE phylogenomics can resolve consistent, well-supported geographic structure across analyses in most polytypic species, and we highlight the need for increased population-level sampling in several key species complexes, especially within *Odontophorus* and *Colinus*.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Jessie F. Salter: Conceptualization, Resources, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. Peter A. Hosner: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing – review & editing. Whitney L.E. Tsai: Resources, Investigation, Data curation. John E. McCormack: Resources, Investigation, Data curation. Edward L. Braun: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing – review & editing. Rebecca T. Kimball: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing – review & editing. Robb T. Brumfield: Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft. Brant C. Faircloth: Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Software, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – original draft.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

We thank the following institutions and people who provided tissue and toepad loans to make this work possible: American Museum of Natural History (AMNH - Paul Sweet & Tom Trombone); Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University (ANSP - Nate Rice); Florida Museum of Natural History (FLMNH - Andy Kratter); Field Museum of Natural History (FMNH - Ben Marks, Shannon Hackett, & John Bates); University of Kansas Biodiversity Research Institute (KU - Mark Robbins); Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (LACM - Kimball Garrett); Louisiana State University Museum of Natural Science (LSU -Donna Dittmann, Van Remsen, & Fred Sheldon); Moore Laboratory of Zoology (MLZ - James Maley); Museo de Zoología 'Alfonso L. Herrera' (UNAM - Adolfo Navarro Sigüenza); National Museum of Natural History (USNM - Christopher Milensky); University of Washington Burke Museum (UWBM - Sharon Birks); Western Foundation of Vertebrate Zoology (WFVZ - René Corado); and the Yale Peabody Museum (YPM -Kristof Zyskowski). Marguerite Poché provided assistance with the lab work. We also thank Shahan Derkarabetian, an anonymous reviewer,

and the editors for their helpful comments on the manuscript.

Funding statement

This research was supported by startup funds from LSU to B.C.F. and by National Science Foundation (NSF) DEB-1655624 to B.C.F and R.T.B. R.T.K. and E.L.B. were supported by NSF DEB-1118823 and DEB-1655683. J.F.S. was supported by a Carrie Lynn Yoder Superior Graduate Student Scholarship from the Louisiana State University Department of Biological Sciences and NSF DBI-2109361. P.A.H. acknowledges support from Villum Fonden research grant no. 25925. Portions of this research were conducted with high performance computational resources provided by Louisiana State University (<u>http://www.hpc.lsu.</u> <u>edu</u>). Funders had no role in creating or approving manuscript content.

Ethics statement

Animal tissues used in this research were collected from museum specimens and are not subject to Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approval.

Data availability

Raw sequencing reads are available from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (BioProject PRJNA 777908). The PHYLUCE computer code used in this study is available from https://github.com/faircloth-lab/phyluce. Other custom computer code, DNA alignments, analysis inputs, and analysis outputs are available from Dryad at https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.bcc2fqzg6.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2022.107559.

References

- Aldrich, J.W., 1946. The United States races of the bob-white. Auk 63, 493–508.Aldrich, J.W., 1942. New bobwhite from Northeastern Mexico. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 55, 67–70.
- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A.A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A.S., Lesin, V. M., Nikolenko, S.I., Pham, S., Prjibelski, A.D., Pyshkin, A.V., Sirotkin, A.V., Vyahhi, N., Tesler, G., Alekseyev, M.A., Pevzner, P.A., 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J. Comput. Biol. 19, 455–477.
- Bi, K., Linderoth, T., Vanderpool, D., Good, J.M., Nielsen, R., Moritz, C., 2013. Unlocking the vault: next-generation museum population genomics. Mol. Ecol. 22, 6018–6032.
- Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., Usadel, B., 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30, 2114–2120.
- Brennan, L.A., Hernandez, F., Williford, D., 2020. Northern Bobwhite (Colinus virginianus). Birds of the World.
- Brewer, D., 2020. Golden Grosbeak (Pheucticus chrysogaster). In: del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J., Christie, D.A., de Juana, E. (Eds.), Birds of the World. Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. doi: 10.2173/bow.gobgro1.01.
- Burleigh, J.G., Kimball, R.T., Braun, E.L., 2015. Building the avian tree of life using a large-scale, sparse supermatrix. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 84, 53–63.
- Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J.M., Gabaldón, T., 2009. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics 25, 1972–1973.
- Carroll, J.P., 2019. New World Quails (Odontophoridae), in: Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J., Christie, D.A., Juana, D., E. (Eds.), Handbook of the Birds of the World Alive. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.
- Carroll, J.P., 1994. Family Odontophoridae (New World Quails). In: Elliott, A., Sargatal, J. (Eds.), Handbook of the Birds of the World. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, pp. 412–433.
- Chifman, J., Kubatko, L., 2014. Quartet inference from SNP data under the coalescent model. Bioinformatics 30, 3317–3324.
- Clements, J.F., Schulenberg, T.S., Iliff, M.J., Roberson, D., Fredericks, T.A., Sullivan, B.L., Wood, C.L., 2019. The eBird/Clements checklist of birds of the world: v2019.
- Cohen, C., Wakeling, J.L., Mandiwana-Neudani, T.G., Sande, E., Dranzoa, C., Crowe, T. M., Bowie, R.C.K., 2012. Phylogenetic affinities of evolutionarily enigmatic African galliforms: the Stone Partridge Ptilopachus petrosus and Nahan's Francolin Francolinus nahani, and support for their sister relationship with New World quails. Ibis 154, 768–780.

- Cox, W.A., Kimball, R.T., Braun, E.L., Klicka, J., 2007. Phylogenetic position of the New World quail (Odontophoridae): eight nuclear loci and three mitochondrial regions contradict morphology and the Sibley-Ahlquist tapestry. Auk 124, 71–84.
- Crowe, T.M., Bowie, R.C.K., Bloomer, P., Mandiwana, T.G., Hedderson, T.A.J., Randi, E., Pereira, S.L., Wakeling, J., 2006. Phylogenetics, biogeography and classification of, and character evolution in, gamebirds (Aves: Galliformes): effects of character exclusion, data partitioning and missing data. Cladistics 22, 495–532.
- Derkarabetian, S., Benavides, L.R., Giribet, G., 2019. Sequence capture phylogenomics of historical ethanol-preserved museum specimens: Unlocking the rest of the vault. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 19, 1531–1544.
- Dickinson, E.C., Remsen, J.V., Jr. (Eds.), 2013. The Howard & Moore Complete Checklist of the Birds of the World, fourth ed. Aves Press, Eastbourne, U.K.
- Ellsworth, D.L., Roseberry, J.L., Klimstra, W.D., 1989. Genetic structure and gene flow in the Northern Bobwhite. Auk 106, 492–495.
- Eo, S.H., Wares, J.P., Carroll, J.P., 2009. Subspecies and units for conservation and management of the northern bobwhite in the eastern United States. Conserv. Genet. 11, 867–875.
- Evans, K.O., Smith, M.D., Burger, L.W., Chambers, R.J., Houston, A.E., Carlisle, R., 2009. Release of Pen-reared Bobwhites: Potential Consequences to the Genetic Integrity of Resident Wild Populations. In: National Quail Symposium Proceedings.
- Faircloth, B.C., 2016. PHYLUCE is a software package for the analysis of conserved genomic loci. Bioinformatics 32, 786–788.
- Faircloth, B.C., 2018. itero. Github.
- Faircloth, B.C., 2015. PHYLUCE Tutorial III: Harvesting UCE Loci From Genomes.
- Faircloth, B.C., 2013. Illumiprocessor: a trimmomatic wrapper for parallel adapter and quality trimming. doi: 10.6079/J9ILL.
- Faircloth, B.C., Branstetter, M.G., White, N.D., Brady, S.G., 2015. Target enrichment of ultraconserved elements from arthropods provides a genomic perspective on relationships among Hymenoptera. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 15, 489–501.
- Faircloth, B.C., McCormack, J.E., Crawford, N.G., Harvey, M.G., Brumfield, R.T., Glenn, T.C., 2012a. Ultraconserved elements anchor thousands of genetic markers spanning multiple evolutionary timescales. Syst. Biol. 61, 717–726.
- Faircloth, B., Glenn, T., McCormack, J., Harvey, M., Sorenson, L., Alfaro, M., White, N., MYcroarray / Arbor Biosciences, 2012. UCE Enrichment v1.2.
- Faircloth, B., Glenn, T., McCormack, J., Harvey, M., Sorenson, L., Alfaro, M., White, N., SI Seqcap Training Workshop participants, MYcroarray / Arbor Biosciences, Salter, J., Oliveros, C., 2018. UCE Enrichment v1.5. http://protocols.faircloth-lab.org/en/la test/protocols-lab/enrichment/uce-enrichment.html.
- Glenn, T.C., Nilsen, R.A., Kieran, T.J., Sanders, J.G., Bayona-Vásquez, N.J., Finger, J.W., Pierson, T.W., Bentley, K.E., Hoffberg, S.L., Louha, S., Garcia-De Leon, F.J., Del Rio Portilla, M.A., Reed, K.D., Anderson, J.L., Meece, J.K., Aggrey, S.E., Rekaya, R., Alabady, M., Belanger, M., Winker, K., Faircloth, B.C., 2019. Adapterama I: universal stubs and primers for 384 unique dual-indexed or 147,456 combinatorially-indexed Illumina libraries (ITru & iNext). PeerJ 7, e7755.
- Guthery, F.S., 1997. A philosophy of habitat management for northern bobwhites. J. Wildl. Manage. 61, 291–301.
- Gutiérrez, R.J., Zink, R.M., Yang, S.Y., 1983. Genic variation, systematic, and biogeographic relationships of some galliform birds. Auk 100, 33–47.
- Hackett, S.J., Kimball, R.T., Reddy, S., Bowie, R.C.K., Braun, E.L., Braun, M.J., Chojnowski, J.L., Cox, W.A., Han, K.-L., Harshman, J., Huddleston, C.J., Marks, B.D., Miglia, K.J., Moore, W.S., Sheldon, F.H., Steadman, D.W., Witt, C.C., Yuri, T., 2008. A phylogenomic study of birds reveals their evolutionary history. Science 320, 1763–1768.
- Hall, L.M., Willcox, M.S., Jones, D.S., 1997. Association of enzyme inhibition with methods of museum skin preparation. Biotechniques 22 (928–30), 932–934.
- Harvey, M.G., Bravo, G.A., Claramunt, S., Cuervo, A.M., Derryberry, G.E., Battilana, J., Seeholzer, G.F., McKay, J.S., O'Meara, B.C., Faircloth, B.C., Edwards, S.V., Pérez-Emán, J., Moyle, R.G., Sheldon, F.H., Aleixo, A., Smith, B.T., Chesser, R.T., Silveira, L.F., Cracraft, J., Brumfield, R.T., Derryberry, E.P., 2020. The evolution of a tropical biodiversity hotspot. Science 370, 1343–1348.
- Harvey, M.G., Smith, B.T., Glenn, T.C., Faircloth, B.C., Brumfield, R.T., 2016. Sequence capture versus restriction site associated DNA sequencing for shallow systematics. Syst. Biol. 65, 910–924.
- Hillis, D.M., Bull, J.J., 1993. An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis. Syst. Biol. 42, 182–192.
- Holman, J.A., 1961. Osteology of Living and Fossil New World Quails (Aves, Galliformes). University of Florida.
- Hosner, P.A., Braun, E.L., Kimball, R.T., 2015. Land connectivity changes and global cooling shaped the colonization history and diversification of New World quail (Aves: Galliformes: Odontophoridae). J. Biogeogr. 42, 1883–1895.
- Hosner, P.A., Faircloth, B.C., Glenn, T.C., Braun, E.L., Kimball, R.T., 2016. Avoiding missing data biases in phylogenomic inference: an empirical study in the Landfowl (Aves: Galliformes). Mol. Biol. Evol. 33, 1110–1125.
- IUCN, 2020. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.
- Johnsgard, P.A., 1988. Quails, Partridges, and Francolins of the World. Oxford University Press.
- Johnsgard, P.A., 1973. Grouse and Quails of North America. University of Nebraska. Johnson, M., Zaretskaya, I., Raytselis, Y., Merezhuk, Y., McGinnis, S., Madden, T.L., 2008. NCBI BLAST: a better web interface. Nucleic Acids Res. 36, W5–W9.
- Katoh, K., Standley, D.M., 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 772–780.
- Kimball, R.T., Braun, E.L., 2014. Does more sequence data improve estimates of galliform phylogeny? Analyses of a rapid radiation using a complete data matrix. PeerJ 2, e361.

- Kozlov, A.M., Darriba, D., Flouri, T., Morel, B., Stamatakis, A., 2019. RAxML-NG: a fast, scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. Bioinformatics 35, 4453–4455.
- Li, H., 2012. seqtk. Github.
- Li, H., Durbin, R., 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25, 1754–1760.
- Marshall, C.J., Liebherr, J.K., 2000. Cladistic biogeography of the Mexican transition zone. J. Biogeogr. 27, 203–216.
- Mayr, E., 1982. Of what use are subspecies? Auk 99, 593-595.
- McCormack, J.E., Maley, J.M., Hird, S.M., Derryberry, E.P., Graves, G.R., Brumfield, R. T., 2012. Next-generation sequencing reveals phylogeographic structure and a species tree for recent bird divergences. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 62, 397–406.
- McCormack, J.E., Rodriguez-Gomez, F., Tsai, W.L.E., Faircloth, B.C., 2017. Turning older museum specimens into genomic resources with next-generation sequencing. In: Webster, M.S. (Ed.), The Extended Specimen: Emerging Frontiers in Collections-Based Ornithological Research. University of California Press, Oakland, CA.
- McCormack, J.E., Tsai, W.L.E., Faircloth, B.C., 2015. Sequence capture of ultraconserved elements from bird museum specimens. Mol. Ecol. Resour. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1755-0998.12466.
- Morrone, J.J., 2010. Fundamental biogeographic patterns across the Mexican Transition Zone: an evolutionary approach. Ecography 33, 355–361.
- Moyle, R.G., Oliveros, C.H., Andersen, M.J., Hosner, P.A., Benz, B.W., Manthey, J.D., Travers, S.L., Brown, R.M., Faircloth, B.C., 2016. Tectonic collision and uplift of Wallacea triggered the global songbird radiation. Nat. Commun. 7, 12709.
- Ogilvie-Grant, W.R., 1893. Catalogue of the Game Birds (Pterocletes, Gallinae, Opisthocomi, Hemipodii) in the collection of the British Museum. Catalogue of the Birds in the British Museum. British Museum (Natural History), London.
- Ogilvie-Grant, W.R., 1896. A Hand-book to the Game-birds. Lloyds Natural History, London.
- Oliveros, C.H., 2015. Phylogenomics of Rapid Avian Radiations (Doctor of Philosophy). University of Kansas.
- Oliveros, C.I., Field, D.J., Ksepka, D.T., Barker, F.K., Aleixo, A., Andersen, M.J., Alström, P., Benz, B.W., Braun, E.L., Braun, M.J., Bravo, G.A., Brumfield, R.T., Chesser, R.T., Claramunt, S., Cracraft, J., Cuervo, A.M., Derryberry, E.P., Glenn, T.C., Harvey, M.G., Hosner, P.A., Joseph, L., Kimball, R.T., Mack, A.L., Miskelly, C.M., Peterson, A.T., Robbins, M.B., Sheldon, F.H., Silveira, L.F., Smith, B.T., White, N.D., Moyle, R.G., Faircloth, B.C., 2019. Earth history and the passerine superradiation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 116, 7916–7925.
- O'Neill, J.P., 1982. The subspecies concept in the 1980's. Auk 99, 609-612.
- Phillimore, A.B., Owens, I.P.F., 2006. Are subspecies useful in evolutionary and conservation biology? Proc. Biol. Sci. 273, 1049–1053.
- Reddy, S., Kimball, R.T., Pandey, A., Hosner, P.A., Braun, M.J., Hackett, S.J., Han, K.-L., Harshman, J., Huddleston, C.J., Kingston, S., Marks, B.D., Miglia, K.J., Moore, W.S., Sheldon, F.H., Witt, C.C., Yuri, T., Braun, E.L., 2017. Why do phylogenomic data sets yield conflicting trees? Data type influences the avian tree of life more than taxon sampling. Syst. Biol. 66, 857–879.
- Ruane, S., Austin, C.C., 2017. Phylogenomics using formalin-fixed and 100+ year-old intractable natural history specimens. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 17, 1003–1008.
- Salter, J.F., Oliveros, C.H., Hosner, P.A., Manthey, J.D., 2020. Extensive paraphyly in the typical owl family (Strigidae). Auk 137, ukz070.
- Sayyari, E., Whitfield, J.B., Mirarab, S., 2017. Fragmentary gene sequences negatively impact gene tree and species tree reconstruction. Mol. Biol. Evol. 34, 3279–3291.
- Schulenberg, T.S., Kirwan, G.M., 2020. Red-rumped Bush-Tyrant (Cnemarchus erythropygius). In: Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J., Christie, D.A., de Juana, E. (Eds.), Birds of the World. Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. doi: 10.2173/bow.rrbtyr1.01.
- Sibley, C.G., Ahlquist, J.E., 1986. Phylogeny of non-passerine birds, based on DNA comparisons.
- Sibley, C.G., Ahlquist, J.E., 1985. The relationships of some groups of African birds, based on comparisons of the genetic material, DNA. In: Schuchmann, K.-L. (Ed.), Proceedings of the International Symposium on African Vertebrates. Zoolische Forschung and Museum Alexander Koenig, Bonn.
- Silva, S.M., Peterson, A.T., Carneiro, L., Burlamaqui, T.C.T., Ribas, C.C., Sousa-Neves, T., Miranda, L.S., Fernandes, A.M., d'Horta, F.M., Araújo-Silva, L.E., Batista, R., Bandeira, C.H.M.M., Dantas, S.M., Ferreira, M., Martins, D.M., Oliveira, J., Rocha, T. C., Sardelli, C.H., Thom, G., Règo, P.S., Santos, M.P., Sequeira, F., Vallinoto, M., Aleixo, A., 2019. A dynamic continental moisture gradient drove Amazonian bird diversification. Sci Adv 5, eaat5752.
- Smith, B.T., Harvey, M.G., Faircloth, B.C., Glenn, T.C., Brumfield, R.T., 2014. Target capture and massively parallel sequencing of ultraconserved elements for comparative studies at shallow evolutionary time scales. Syst. Biol. 63, 83–95.
- Smith, B.T., Mauck, W.M., Benz, B.W., Andersen, M.J., 2020. Uneven missing data skew phylogenomic relationships within the Lories and Lorikeets. Genome Biol. Evol. 12, 1131–1147.
- Sukumaran, J., Holder, M.T., 2010. DendroPy: a Python library for phylogenetic computing. Bioinformatics 26, 1569–1571.
- Swanson, M.T., Oliveros, C.H., Esselstyn, J.A., 2019. A phylogenomic rodent tree reveals the repeated evolution of masseter architectures. Proc. Biol. Sci. 286, 20190672.
- Swofford, D.L., 2002. PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods). Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Tsai, W.L.E., Mota-Vargas, C., Rojas-Soto, O., Bhowmik, R., Liang, E.Y., Maley, J.M., Zarza, E., McCormack, J.E., 2019a. Museum genomics reveals the speciation history of Dendrortyx wood-partridges in the Mesoamerican highlands. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 136, 29–34.

Tsai, W.L.E., Schedl, M.E., Maley, J.M., McCormack, J.E., 2019b. More than skin and bones: comparing extraction methods and alternative sources of DNA from avian museum specimens. Mol. Ecol. Resour. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13077.

Verheyen, R., 1956. Contribution à l'anatomie et à la systématique des Galliformes. Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique 32, 1–24.

Wandeler, P., Hoeck, P.E.A., Keller, L.F., 2007. Back to the future: museum specimens in population genetics. Trends Ecol. Evol. 22, 634–642.

Wang, N., Kimball, R.T., Braun, E.L., Liang, B., Zhang, Z., 2013. Assessing phylogenetic relationships among galliformes: a multigene phylogeny with expanded taxon sampling in Phasianidae. PLoS ONE 8, e64312.

Whitt, J.G., Johnson, J.A., Reyna, K.S., 2017. Two centuries of human-mediated gene flow in northern bobwhites. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 41, 639–648. Williford, D., Deyoung, R.W., Honeycutt, R.L., Brennan, L.A., Hernández, F., 2016. Phylogeography of the bobwhite (Colinus) quails. Wildlife Monographs 193, 1–49.

Williford, D., Deyoung, R.W., Honeycutt, R.L., Brennan, L.A., Wehland, H.F., M, E., Sands, J.P., Demaso, S.J., Miller, K.S., Perez, R.M., 2014. Contemporary genetic structure of the northern bobwhite west of the Mississippi River. J. Wildl. Manag. 78, 914–929.

Zarza, E., Faircloth, B.C., Tsai, W.L.E., Bryson, R.W., Klicka, J., McCormack, J.E., 2016. Hidden histories of gene flow in highland birds revealed with genomic markers. Mol. Ecol. 25, 5144–5157.

Zink, R.M., Blackwell, R.C., 1998. Molecular systematics of the Scaled Quail complex (genus Callipepla). Auk 115, 394–403.