

Citation: Snell KRS, Jensen RBE, Ortvad TE, Willemoes M, Thorup K (2020) Multiple fragmented habitat-patch use in an urban breeding passerine, the Short-toed Treecreeper. PLoS ONE 15(1): e0227731. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0227731

Editor: Jorge Ramón López-Olvera, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, SPAIN

Received: July 10, 2019

Accepted: December 29, 2019

Published: January 14, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Snell et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Positional data are available from the Movebank Data Repository at www.movebank.org and are accessible using the ID 909512383.

Funding: The Danish Council for Independent Research supported the MATCH project (1323-00048B) and Danish National Research Foundation supported Center for Macroecology, Evolution and Climate (DNRF96). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Multiple fragmented habitat-patch use in an urban breeding passerine, the Short-toed Treecreeper

Katherine R. S. Snell[®]*, Rie B. E. Jensen, Troels E. Ortvad, Mikkel Willemoes, Kasper Thorup

Center for Macroecology, Evolution and Climate, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

* katherine.snell@snm.ku.dk

Abstract

Individual responses of wild birds to fragmented habitat have rarely been studied, despite large-scale habitat fragmentation and biodiversity loss resulting from widespread urbanisation. We investigated the spatial ecology of the Short-toed Treecreeper Certhia brachydactyla, a tiny, resident, woodland passerine that has recently colonised city parks at the northern extent of its range. High resolution spatiotemporal movements of this obligate treeliving species were determined using radio telemetry within the urbanized matrix of city parks in Copenhagen, Denmark. We identified regular edge crossing behaviour, novel in woodland birds. While low numbers of individuals precluded a comprehensive characterisation of home range for this population, we were able to describe a consistent behaviour which has consequences for our understanding of animal movement in urban ecosystems. We report that treecreepers move freely, and apparently do so regularly, between isolated habitat patches. This behaviour is a possible driver of the range expansion in this species and may contribute to rapid dispersal capabilities in certain avian species, including Shorttoed Treecreepers, into northern Europe. Alternatively, these behaviours might be common and/or provide an adaptive advantage for birds utilising matrix habitats, for example within urban ecosystems.

Introduction

Increasing urbanisation globally, with associated habitat fragmentation and loss, has prompted a wealth of studies of effects of urban sprawl in birds [1, 2]. Urbanisation is one of the fastest growing land use changes, with consequential impacts on biodiversity (extinction, speciation and distribution) across taxa [3, 4]. Urban avian assemblages are affected by a variety of factors, such as vegetation structure and diversity, human disturbance and anthropogenic provision of resources [1, 2, 5–7].

Fragmentation of habitat limits dispersal and colonisation even in species as mobile as birds [8]. The influence of matrix habitat as a result of fragmentation from the perspective of

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

population dynamics and species assemblage has been extensively studied ([8–13] and papers therein). Behaviour at the individual level is rarely considered [4, 9, 14] but for example, Moore et al. [15] found very poor obligate crossing ability in tropical rainforest specialists, and Black-capped Chickadees *Parus atricapillus* were less likely to cross open areas between wood-lands [16, 17]. In an urban setting, Evans et al. [1] concluded that fragmentation of habitats frequently influences avian assemblages. Furthermore, a feeder visitation study reported a negative effect of increased urbanisation on functional movements [18]. While much work is devoted to the study of urbanisation, and its effects on demographic parameters and species assemblages (reviewed in [1]), empirical data of how vagile species interact with this landscape are generally lacking.

Short-toed Treecreepers are obligate tree-living passerines resident predominantly in central Europe in low densities [19, 20]. Unlike the Eurasian Treecreeper *Certhia familiaris*, the Short-toed Treecreeper has exhibited rapid range expansion and has only recently become established in Denmark (first documented in 1930) at the northernmost extent of its range [21, 22]. This northward range expansion is likely caused by a combination of dispersal/ movement events and climate drivers [23]. *Certhia* species are not strong fliers although the Eurasian Treecreeper is migratory [24]. The two species prefer different habitats; whereas the Eurasian Treecreeper is apparently dependant on young, dense woodland, the Short-toed Treecreeper utilises both urban and mature forest landscapes [25, 26]. Short-toed Treecreepers feed, nest, and roost exclusively on the trunks or branches of trees [27]. They specialise in mature rough barked species in edge habitats [27].

Urban wooded habitats are generally small scale: a fragmented matrix of parks and treelined corridors [28, 29], typically 1–100 ha separated by tens to hundreds of metres of hard structures (roads and buildings). These urban woodlands are often considered islands within the urban landscape [10, 30–32]. Urban parks are characterised by highly modified spaces, low tree density, large numbers of exotics and a mixture of non-native and native genera [28, 30]. Mature trees are heavily managed, particularly in the canopy [28]. In Northern Europe, which is characterised by the largely temperate climate and frost-resistant broadleaved deciduous native forests, mature trees are predominantly hardwood, with a large proportion reported in Danish cities [33, 34]. In addition to parks and cemeteries, city spaces include significant numbers of street trees [34, 35]. For example, in Aarhus, Denmark, one third of all trees are street trees [34].

We explicitly investigate spatial behaviours at the individual level [32]. Even in the era of rapid development of tracking technologies, for small birds tag-size limits high spatial- and temporal-resolution tracking to radio telemetry [36, 37] which is particularly valuable in cryptic species. Tracking provides a systematic unbiased tool to document space utilisation of individuals [36, 38] to identify habitat attributes. Previous studies attempting to track urban breeding birds are generally restricted to large species (e.g. raptors, pigeons and parakeets: [39–43]), and studies of songbirds within an urbanised environment have focused on postnatal dispersal [44–47]. Here, we used radio telemetry to identify the structural habitat of a typically woodland bird, now breeding in the highly urbanised area of central Copenhagen, and characterise movements of Short-toed Treecreepers in the built landscape.

Materials and methods

In the pre-breeding periods (2016 & 2017), four Short-toed Treecreepers were caught in Fælledparken in the centre of Copenhagen, using play-back and continuously monitored mistnets. Birds were full-grown males (later determined from song). They were ringed with a unique metal and colour ring and fitted with a tail-mounted radio tag (0.35g, 16ms pulse, 48bpm pulse rate; Biotrack, UK; all procedures followed national ethical guidelines). The tag and rings accounted for <5% of the birds' body mass (8.25–9.0g), following [48]. Tag attachment was designed to be temporary, i.e. until the single tail feather was moulted and replaced; as such birds were tracked until the tag was dropped (3–8days). Positions were obtained using a Sika Receiver and Flexible Yagi handheld antenna throughout the daylight period allowing at least one hour separation between positions, to account for the inherent spatial auto-correlation of movement data (see positional data) [36, 38]. If the bird was obscured by vegetation, the tree positon was determined by triangulation. For 62% of positions, visual confirmation of the bird was possible, once located by telemetry.

To illustrate utilization areas, 100% minimum convex polygons (MCPs) were calculated (adehabitat R package; R v3.5.3 [49, 50]). Supporting visual-only re-sighting data from one individual ringed in 2016 was included in the 2017 dataset (n = 5 opportunistic positions within the same pre-breeding period) and years were treated independently for analysis.

High resolution land use data were derived from Open StreetMap (OSM) categorised polygons [51, 52]. We aggregated land use into three categories: 'urban park', 'built-up' and 'roads' from OSM classification tags (S1 Table), and extracted land use by area within each MCP. We *a priori* defined 'urban park' areas with mature stands of trees as suitable habitat for the Shorttoed Treecreepers [27]. Discrete habitat patches were defined as contiguous areas of 'urban park' separated by 'built-up' areas and 'roads': here Amorparken, Universitetsparken, Fælledparken S, Fælledparken N and Sankt Jakobs Kirkehave (Fig 1). To demonstrate movements within and between separated habitat blocks, straight line distances between positions relative to the first telemetry-derived position were calculated using deg.dist() function (fossil R package [53]).

Tree cover data were included in the maps for figurative reference. Mature trees within the study area were identified and mapped within the study area, and minimum flight distance between discrete urban park fragments in each bird's utilization area was measured as the shortest straight-line distance between closest mature trees. Tree preference was obtained in 2016 for the two individuals with more than 10 visually confirmed positions as the mean measures of girth (CBH), bark furrow-depth (mean of five random positions around CBH measure) and a proxy for local density (distance to nearest mature tree).

Ethics statement

This study was carried out in strict accordance with necessary national and local permissions and guidelines. Capture with mist-nets and play-back, ringing, single-tail feather mounted radio-tagging and tracking was approved by the steering committee of the Danish Nature Agency by permission to the Copenhagen Bird Ringing Centre (J.nr. SN 302–009). Landowners' permissions were obtained for fieldwork at these sites.

Results

All birds were observed in at least two areas of suitable habitat separated by built-up land of 32 to 200m minimum distance (Fig 1 and S2 Table). Positions indicate multiple movements between discrete habitat blocks over the duration of the study period (Fig 2). Where high temporal resolution positions were obtained, these movements appear to be regular diurnal translocation behaviours (Fig 2). Positions were located in park areas separated by main roads, carparks or university/hospital buildings (ca. 5 stories), typical of a European city non-residential area. Where habitat patches are separated by multi-storey buildings birds may have flown directly across (observed in 2017, pers. comm. M. Thorup), or undertaken a route that circumnavigated buildings potentially crossing shorter distances between habitat patches (i.e. utilising



Fig 1. Positions of tracked birds in central Copenhagen, Denmark. Positional fixes derived from \bullet Radio Telemetry (RT) and \bullet Visual-only observations (Vis) for the four individual Short-toed Treecreepers indicated by colour of colour ring. Minimum convex polygon (100%) is given to illustrate known area use in 2016 and 2017. 'Built-up' areas: grey; 'urban park' includes grass: pale green, trees: dark green, lakes: blue; and all 'roads' and other hard surfaces: white. Isolated habitat patches are labelled: **A** Amorparken, **B** Universitetsparken, **C** Fælledparken S, **D** Fælledparken N and **E** Sankt Jakobs Kirkehave. All panels at the same scale and extent.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227731.g001

minimum distance between patches). Calculated MCPs (S2 Table) are indicative of the landuse matrix in the known utilisation area, and although not a typically defined home range, areas ranged between 9.8 and 20.6ha, of which 5.8 to 7.7ha was urban park land. Individuals, when located, were in large, lone-standing trees with deep furrows (girth 2.4 \pm 0.5m, distance to nearest tree 6.0 \pm 0.6m and furrow depth 67 \pm 2.7mm (n = 28, \pm SD)).

Discussion

Our study demonstrates regular movement of individual Short-toed Treecreepers among isolated areas of habitat across a fragmented, urban landscape. Our low population density, and hence low sample size precludes a comprehensive assessment of home range and we are conservative in identifying any patterns of behavioural ecology. However, characterisation of



Fig 2. Distance between radio-telemetry positions over the tracking period. Movement between consecutive positions within the same habitat fragment represented by dashed lines (----) and movements between positions in different habitat fragments represented by a solid line (----). Distance (m) is the straight line distance of each position from the first independent position derived by radio telemetry. Time in days (d) is the time passed since the first position. Capture and tagging positions were not used as the reference location as it may have been confounded by the use of playback. Colours and symbols indicate individuals by colour ring: red \bullet , green \blacksquare , yellow \blacktriangle , blue \checkmark .

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227731.g002

spatial dynamics in urban fragmented habitat at the individual level is rare and has not previously been established at this scale for free-flying passerines.

The flexible and adaptive behavioural pattern observed here may explain not only the rapid and recent expansion of their range, but also the utilisation of urban habitats [32]. The limited but systematic non-biased data in our study demonstrate that birds not only made multiple flights from one fragment to another, crossing high-traffic roads or built-up areas, but did so apparently routinely as part of a diurnal pattern. The use of lone-standing, deeply-furrowed trees in the urban environment is typical of habitat for this species in its core range (e.g. Spain [27]). Tree-lined streets or 'ecological corridors' in Denmark, with their propensity for young trees, are invariably unsuitable breeding or foraging habitat for our study species [27]; however they may facilitate movement (regular or dispersive) within the urban matrix [35, 47, 54]. The intra-patch flights of up to 200m were neither confined to contiguous habitat nor, in all cases, reliant upon these corridors. This is perhaps surprising given that previous studies found that birds were (i) reluctant to leave sites when separated by urban development [55], (ii) incurred apparent survival/productivity costs when switching patches [56], (iii) gap-crossing tendency is apparently allometric [57] and (iv) that insectivores, in particular, were unlikely to penetrate edges [58]. Our findings do not corroborate the idea that energetic cost and vulnerability to predation (from aerial predators) means that small songbirds are unlikely to undertake edge crossing behaviour [16, 17, 59].

Our study highlights the potential implication that an overestimate of population size may be inadvertently derived from visual-only observations with the inaccurate assumption that they are sedentary or averse to crossing built up landscapes. Conservation and management of habit for urban wildlife is an important emerging field, and demographic parameters of species are essential [10, 60]. In this species, and potentially other songbirds, that move between patches of habitat, it is conceivable that the same individual is recorded as multiple apparent territories.

Technology is fundamental to the understanding of spatial characteristics of key utilisation areas, generally unbiased by type II error, and therefore the limitations of investigating songbirds in urban environments must be implicit. For animals with a body mass of less than 50g, fully independent spatial data is still confined to radio telemetry, although there is much interest in advancing functionality with this technology [37, 61]. Apparent sources of interference identified from this study alone include: aviation; reflections from buildings (as described in [36]) and transmitting radio-frequency interference which can lead to abrupt signal masking or indeterminate positions. We identified attenuation from galvanised chain-link fences, tree trunks and dense vegetation (latter described in [62]), and competing pulsed transmissions on the same frequency. In combination, methodology must account for these limitations of track-ing in urban environments to be able to inform spatial ecology of home ranges and resource partitioning.

There is a wealth of literature addressing habitat fragmentation from the perspective of population dynamics and species assemblage ([9, 29] and papers therein). These studies range from pattern-based conceptual models to species-orientated responses to their environment. Field studies invariably rely on methodologically limited movement data for the questions addressed in our study, i.e. point counts, citizen science monitoring programs, proximity loggers or colour ring resightings [18, 55, 56, 63]. However, while they provide complementary insights into processes of species abundance and assemblage, below the population level, the individual is rarely considered [4, 9, 12, 14]. While our sample size was necessarily low, the same pattern of edge-crossing was recorded in all individuals. Even conservatively, we can conclude that this capability exists in this population. The capacity to utilise fragmented habitat by Short-toed Treecreepers may provide a complementary driver of rapid range expansion, and such behaviour may enable species to colonise urban environments or persist in rapidly changing landscapes [3, 64, 65]. Our study presents novel insights into how some birds interact with the heterogeneity of the built environment and urban greenspaces, with potential implications for understanding the mechanism of range expansion.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Land use data definitions. Land use data derived from Open StreetMap (OSM) categorised polygons, classification tags and definitions. (PDF)

S2 Table. Spatial data acquired for study birds. Total number of positions acquired for each of four individual Short-toed Treecreepers in Copenhagen, Denmark, the calculated 100% minimum convex polygon (MCP) of known area use, and total area of 'urban park' and 'road' (calculated from Open StreetMap land use) within. Minimum Distance (Min. dist) between mature trees in park fragments used by each individual (as calculated from satellite images). (PDF)

Acknowledgments

Thanks to all those who contributed to fieldwork, in particular the Danish Ringing Scheme and participants on the Ornithology Course 2016 and D. Strubbe for valuable comments on the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Katherine R. S. Snell, Troels E. Ortvad, Mikkel Willemoes, Kasper Thorup.

Data curation: Katherine R. S. Snell.

Formal analysis: Katherine R. S. Snell.

Funding acquisition: Kasper Thorup.

Investigation: Katherine R. S. Snell, Rie B. E. Jensen, Troels E. Ortvad, Mikkel Willemoes, Kasper Thorup.

Methodology: Katherine R. S. Snell, Troels E. Ortvad, Mikkel Willemoes, Kasper Thorup.

Project administration: Katherine R. S. Snell.

Supervision: Katherine R. S. Snell.

Writing - original draft: Katherine R. S. Snell, Rie B. E. Jensen.

Writing – review & editing: Katherine R. S. Snell, Rie B. E. Jensen, Troels E. Ortvad, Mikkel Willemoes, Kasper Thorup.

References

- Evans KL, Newson SE, Gaston KJ. Habitat influences on urban avian assemblages. Ibis. 2009; 151 (1):19–39.
- Marzluff JM. A decadal review of urban ornithology and a prospectus for the future. Ibis. 2016; 159 (1):1–13.
- McKinney ML. Urbanization as a major cause of biotic homogenization. Biol Conserv. 2006; 127 (3):247–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.09.005

- Wilcove DS, McLellan CH, Dobson AP. Habitat fragmentation in the temperate zone. Conserv Biol. 1986; 6:237–56.
- Delmore KE, Liedvogel M. Investigating Factors that Generate and Maintain Variation in Migratory Orientation: A Primer for Recent and Future Work. Front Behav Neurosci. 2016; 10:3. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fnbeh.2016.00003 PMID: 26834592
- 6. Jokimäki J. Occurrence of breeding bird species in urban parks: effects of park structure and broadscale variables. Urban Ecosystems. 1999; 3(1):21–34.
- Baltensperger A, Mullet T, Schmid M, Humphries G, Kövér L, Huettmann F. Seasonal observations and machine-learning-based spatial model predictions for the common raven (Corvus corax) in the urban, sub-arctic environment of Fairbanks, Alaska. Polar Biol. 2013; 36(11):1587–99.
- Greenwood PJ. Mating systems, philopatry and dispersal in birds and mammals. Anim Behav. 1980; 28 (4):1140–62.
- 9. Fischer J, Lindenmayer DB. Landscape modification and habitat fragmentation: a synthesis. Global Ecol Biogeogr. 2007; 16(3):265–80.
- Fernandez-Juricic E, Jokimäki J. A habitat island approach to conserving birds in urban landscapes: case studies from southern and northern Europe. Biodiversity & Conservation. 2001; 10(12):2023–43.
- Sattler T, Borcard D, Arlettaz R, Bontadina F, Legendre P, Obrist M, et al. Spider, bee, and bird communities in cities are shaped by environmental control and high stochasticity. Ecology. 2010; 91(11):3343– 53. https://doi.org/10.1890/09-1810.1 PMID: 21141195
- Prevedello JA, Vieira MV. Does the type of matrix matter? A quantitative review of the evidence. Biodivers Conserv. 2010; 19(5):1205–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-009-9750-z
- Andrén H, Delin A, Seiler A. Population response to landscape changes depends on specialization to different landscape elements. Oikos. 1997; 80(1):193–6.
- 14. Melles S, Glenn S, Martin K. Urban bird diversity and landscape complexity: species—environment associations along a multiscale habitat gradient. Conserv Ecol. 2003; 7(1):5 (online).
- Moore RP, Robinson WD, Lovette IJ, Robinson TR. Experimental evidence for extreme dispersal limitation in tropical forest birds. Ecol Lett. 2008; 11(9):960–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008</u>. 01196.x PMID: 18513315
- Desrochers A, Hannon SJ. Gap crossing decisions by forest songbirds during the post-fledging period. Conserv Biol. 1997; 11(5):1204–10.
- Davis RA, Wilcox JA. Adapting to suburbia: bird ecology on an urban-bushland interface in Perth, Western Australia. Pac Conserv Biol. 2013; 19(2):110–20. https://doi.org/10.1071/PC130110
- Cox DT, Inger R, Hancock S, Anderson K, Gaston KJ. Movement of feeder-using songbirds: the influence of urban features. Sci Rep. 2016; 6:37669. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep37669 PMID: 27876884
- BirdLife International. Species factsheet: Certhia brachydactyla. Downloaded from http://www.birdlife.org org on 15/05/2017 2017.
- Grell MB. Fuglenes Danmark: de danske fugles udbredelse, tæthed, bestandsforhold og udviklingstendenser 1971–1996 baseret på resultaterne oaf Dansk Ornitologisk Forenings landsdækkende kortlægning i 1993–96. Copenhagen, Denmark: Gads forlag; 1998.
- 21. Dybbro T. Politikens Store Fuglebog. Copenhagen, Denmark: Politiken; 2004.
- 22. Huntley B, Green RE, Collingham YC, Willis SG. A climatic atlas of European breeding birds. Barcelona, Spain: Lynx Edicions; 2007.
- Sexton JP, McIntyre PJ, Angert AL, Rice KJ. Evolution and Ecology of Species Range Limits. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. 2009; 40(1):415–36. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. ecolsys.110308.120317
- 24. Bønløkke J, Madsen JJ, Thorup K, Pedersen KT, Bjerrum M, Rahbek C. The Danish bird migration atlas. Humlebæk, Denmark: Rhodos; 2006.
- Clausen P, Toft S. Mixed singers and imitation singers among Short-toed Treecreepers. Brit Birds. 1988; 81(10):496–503.
- Clouet M, Gerard J-F. Factors affecting the distribution of the sibling species of treecreepers Certhia familiaris and C. brachydactyla in the Pyrenees. J Ornithol. 2018; 160(1):27–36. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10336-018-1605-5
- 27. del Hoyo J, Elliott A, Christie D. Handbook of the Birds of the World. Barcalona: Lynx Edicions; 2008.
- 28. Gilbert O. The Ecology of Urban Habitats. London, UK: Chapman & Hall 1989.
- 29. Forman RT. Urban ecology: science of cities: Cambridge University Press; 2014.
- **30.** Werner P, Kelcey JG. Urban Green and Biodiversity. In: Tan PY, Jim CY, editors. Greening Cities: Forms and Functions. Singapore: Springer Singapore; 2017. p. 131–54.

- Fernández-Juricic E. Avifaunal use of wooded streets in an urban landscape. Conserv Biol. 2000; 14 (2):513–21.
- Crooks KR, Suarez AV, Bolger DT, Soulé ME. Extinction and colonization of birds on habitat islands. Conserv Biol. 2001; 15(1):159–72.
- Gundersen V, Frivold LH, Löfström I, Jørgensen BB, Falck J, Øyen B-H. Urban woodland management–The case of 13 major Nordic cities. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 2005; 3(3–4):189–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2005.03.001
- Sjöman H, Östberg J, Bühler O. Diversity and distribution of the urban tree population in ten major Nordic cities. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 2012; 11(1):31–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2011.09. 004
- Matsuba M, Nishijima S, Katoh K. Effectiveness of corridor vegetation depends on urbanization tolerance of forest birds in central Tokyo, Japan. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 2016; 18:173–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2016.05.011
- 36. Kenward RE. A manual for wildlife radio tagging. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Academic Press; 2000.
- Hobson KA, Norris DR, Kardynal KJ, Yohannes E. Animal Migration: A Context for Using New Techniques and Approaches. In: Hobson KA, Wassenaar LI, editors. Tracking Animal Migration with Stable Isotopes (Second Edition): Academic Press; 2019. p. 1–23.
- De Solla SR, Bonduriansky R, Brooks RJ. Eliminating autocorrelation reduces biological relevance of home range estimates. J Anim Ecol. 1999; 68(2):221–34.
- Rutz C. Home range size, habitat use, activity patterns and hunting behaviour of urban-breeding Northern Goshawks Accipiter gentilis. Ardea. 2006; 94(2):185–202.
- **40.** Mannan RW, Boal CW. Home range characteristics of male Cooper's hawks in an urban environment. The Wilson Bulletin. 2000; 112(1):21–7.
- Morrison JL, Gottlieb IG, Pias KE. Spatial distribution and the value of green spaces for urban red-tailed hawks. Urban Ecosystems. 2016; 19(3):1373–88.
- 42. Rose E, Haag-Wackernagel D, Nagel P. Practical use of GPS-localization of Feral Pigeons *Columba livia* in the urban environment. Ibis. 2006; 148(2):231–9.
- Strubbe D, Matthysen E. A radiotelemetry study of habitat use by the exotic Ring-necked Parakeet *Psittacula krameri* in Belgium. Ibis. 2011; 153(1):180–4.
- Ausprey IJ, Rodewald AD. Post-fledging dispersal timing and natal range size of two songbird species in an urbanizing landscape. The Condor. 2013; 115(1):102–14. https://doi.org/10.1525/cond.2013. 110176
- **45.** Ladin ZS, Van Nieuland S, Adalsteinsson SA, D'Amico V, Bowman JL, Buler JJ, et al. Differential postfledging habitat use of Nearctic-Neotropical migratory birds within an urbanized landscape. Mov Ecol. 2018; 6:17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40462-018-0132-6 PMID: 30151198
- 46. Adalsteinsson SA, Buler JJ, Bowman JL, D'Amico V, Ladin ZS, Shriver WG. Post-independence mortality of juveniles is driven by anthropogenic hazards for two passerines in an urban landscape. J Avian Biol. 2018; 49(8):e01555. https://doi.org/10.1111/jav.01555
- Doerr VAJ, Doerr ED, Davies MJ. Dispersal behaviour of Brown Treecreepers predicts functional connectivity for several other woodland birds. Emu—Austral Ornithology. 2016; 111(1):71–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/mu09118</u>
- 48. Cochran W. Willdife Telemetry. Willdife management techniques manual. 1980:507–20.
- **49.** R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria.2017.
- 50. Calenge C. The package "adehabitat" for the R software: a tool for the analysis of space and habitat use by animals. Ecol Model. 2006; 197(3):516–9.
- OpenStreetMap contributors. Planet dump 12 April 2017. Retrieved from https://planet.openstreetmap.org 2017.
- 52. Eugster MJA, Schlesinger T. osmar: OpenStreetMap and R. R Journal. 2012.
- Vavrek MJ. fossil: palaeoecological and palaeogeographical analysis tools. Palaeontologia Electronica, 14:1T. http://palaeo-electronica.org/2011_1/238/index.html. 2011.
- 54. Savard J-PL, Clergeau P, Mennechez G. Biodiversity concepts and urban ecosystems. Landscape Urban Plann. 2000; 48(3):131–42.
- 55. Haas CA. Dispersal and use of corridors by birds in wooded patches on an agricultural landscape. Conserv Biol. 1995; 9(4):845–54.

- Marzluff JM, DeLap JH, Oleyar MD, Whittaker KA, Gardner B. Breeding Dispersal by Birds in a Dynamic Urban Ecosystem. PLoS One. 2016; 11(12):e0167829. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167829</u> PMID: 28030559
- 57. Creegan HP, Osborne PE. Gap-crossing decisions of woodland songbirds in Scotland: an experimental approach. J Appl Ecol. 2005; 42(4):678–87. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01057.x
- Hodgson P, French K, Major RE. Avian movement across abrupt ecological edges: Differential responses to housing density in an urban matrix. Landscape Urban Plann. 2007; 79(3–4):266–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2006.02.012
- 59. Lima SL, Dill LM. Behavioral decisions made under the risk of predation: a review and prospectus. Canadian Journal of Zoology. 1990; 68(4):619–40.
- Ferenc M, Sedláček O, Fuchs R. How to improve urban greenspace for woodland birds: site and localscale determinants of bird species richness. Urban Ecosystems. 2014; 17(2):625–40.
- Tremblay JA, Desrochers A, Aubry Y, Pace P, Bird DM. A Low-Cost Technique for Radio-Tracking Wildlife Using a Small Standard Unmanned Aerial Vehicle. Journal of Unmanned Vehicle Systems. 2017;(ja). https://doi.org/10.1139/juvs-2016-0019
- Amlaner CJ, Macdonald DW. A handbook on biotelemetry and radio tracking: proceedings of an International Conference on Telemetry and Radio Tracking in Biology and Medicine, Oxford, 20–22 March 1979: Elsevier; 2013.
- **63.** Plummer KE, Siriwardena GM, Conway GJ, Risely K, Toms MP. Is supplementary feeding in gardens a driver of evolutionary change in a migratory bird species? Glob Chang Biol. 2015; 21(12):4353–63. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13070 PMID: 26400594
- 64. Gascon C, Lovejoy TE, Bierregaard RO Jr, Malcolm JR, Stouffer PC, Vasconcelos HL, et al. Matrix habitat and species richness in tropical forest remnants. Biol Conserv. 1999; 91(2):223–9.
- Scolozzi R, Geneletti D. A multi-scale qualitative approach to assess the impact of urbanization on natural habitats and their connectivity. Environ Impact Assess Rev. 2012; 36:9–22.